

doi: 10.3969/j.issn.0490-6756.2018.03.002

# 弱耦合 Schrödinger 方程组的稳定性

张华磊

(四川大学数学学院, 成都 610064)

**摘要:** 本文旨在研究弱耦合 Schrödinger 方程组的稳定性问题. 为此, 我们首先建立了关于弱耦合椭圆方程组的内插不等式, 然后得到了耦合 Schrödinger 方程组的预解式估计, 进而得到了相应的稳定性结果.

**关键词:** 对数稳定性; 内插不等式; 预解式; 弱耦合 Schrödinger 方程组.

**中图分类号:** O231.4      **文献标识码:** A      **文章编号:** 0490-6756(2018)03-0429-06

## Stabilization of the weakly coupled Schrödinger system

ZHANG Hua-Lei

(College of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, China)

**Abstract:** This paper is devoted to analyzing the stabilization problems of the weakly coupled Schrödinger system. For this purpose, we establish the interpolation inequality for coupled elliptic system. Based on this, we obtain the resolvent estimate for the coupled Schrödinger system, thus obtaining the corresponding stabilization results.

**Keywords:** Logarithmic stability; Interpolation inequality; Resolvent; The weakly coupled Schrödinger system

## 1 Introduction

There exist some interesting results on the stabilization problems of Schrödinger system<sup>[1-9]</sup>. In Refs. [7, 9], the authors proved that a linear Schrödinger equation with time independent coefficients is exponentially stabilizable. In Refs. [5, 6], the authors obtained the polynomial decay of coupled Schrödinger system with variable coefficients.

However, to the best of the author's knowledge, there is no reference addressing the asymptotic behavior of the coupled Schrödinger equations. In this paper, we will show the logarithmic decay property for solutions of the weakly coupled Schrödinger system with only one dissipation

mechanism.

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with  $C^2$  boundary. Set

$$Y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N)^T, Z = (y_1, 0, \dots, 0)^T \quad (1)$$

Let us consider the following weakly coupled Schrödinger system:

$$\begin{cases} iY_t + \Delta Y + AY + id(x)Z = 0 & \text{in } \mathbf{R} \times \Omega, \\ Y = 0 & \text{on } \mathbf{R} \times \partial\Omega, \\ Y(0) = Y_0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

here  $d(\cdot)$  denotes the damping function and  $A(\cdot) = (h^{jk}(\cdot))_{n \times n}$  denotes the coupling matrix satisfying

$$h^{jk} \in L^\infty(\Omega), h^{jk} = h^{kj}, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (3)$$

and when  $k \geq j$ ,

$$h^{jk}(\cdot) = \begin{cases} c_j(\cdot) & k = j+1 \text{ and } j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

We always assume that  $c_j(\cdot), d(\cdot)$  are bounded Lebesgue measurable real valued functions satisfying

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq c_j(x) \leq c_j^1 \text{ in } \Omega \text{ and } 0 \leq d(x) \leq d_1 \text{ in } \Omega, \\ 0 < c_j^0 \leq c_j(x) \text{ in } \omega_{c_j} \text{ and } 0 < d_0 \leq d(x) \text{ in } \omega_d \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where  $\omega_{c_j}, \omega_d$  are any fixed non-empty open subsets of  $\Omega$ , and  $c_j^0, c_j^1, d_0, d_1$  are given constants. In what follows, we will use

$$C = C(\Omega, \omega_{c_j}, \omega_d) \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1)$$

to denote a generic positive constant which may vary from line to line.

Put  $H = (L^2(\Omega))^N$ . Define an unbounded operator  $B: D(B) \subset H \rightarrow H$ , by

$$\begin{cases} D(B) = \{Y \in H \mid BY \in H, Y \mid \partial\Omega = 0\}, \\ BY = i\Delta Y + iAY - d(x)Z \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

It is easy to show that  $B$  generate a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $\{e^{tB}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$  on  $H$ . Therefore, system (2) is well-posed in  $H$ . The energy of system (2) is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |Y(t, x)|^2 dx = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |y_j(t, x)|^2 dx, \quad \forall t > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} E(t_2) - E(t_1) &= \\ &= - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} d(x) |y_1(t, x)|^2 dx dt, \\ \forall t_2 \geq t_1 \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Our main results is stated as follows:

**Theorem 1.1** Let  $c_j(\cdot)$  and  $d(\cdot)$  satisfy

(5). Suppose that  $(\bigcap_{j=1}^{n-1} \omega_{c_j}) \cap \omega_d \neq \emptyset$ . Then solution  $Y = e^{tB} Y^0 \in C(\mathbb{R}^+; D(B)) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}^+; H)$  of system (2) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{tB} Y^0\|_H &\leq \frac{C}{\ln(2+t)} \|Y^0\|_{D(B)}, \\ \forall Y^0 \in D(B), \forall t > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

It is now clear that, once a suitable resolvent estimate for the operator  $B$  is established, the existing result for  $C_0$ -semigroup can be adopted to yield the desired energy decay rate<sup>[1,2,8]</sup>. Hence, to prove Theorem 1.1, we only need to establish the following resolvent estimate for the operator  $B$ .

**Theorem 1.2** Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $\text{Re} \lambda \in \left[-\frac{e^{-C\sqrt{\text{Im} \lambda}}}{C}, 0\right]$ , it holds

$$\|(\lambda I - B)^{-1}\|_{L(H)} \leq C e^{C\sqrt{|\text{Im} \lambda|}}$$

for  $|\lambda| > 1$ .

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we establish the interpolation inequality for coupled elliptic system. In Section 3, we give the resolvent estimate for the coupled Schrödinger system, thus obtaining the corresponding stabilization results.

## 2 Interpolation inequality for coupled elliptic system

We assume that  $\omega_0$  is a subdomain of  $\Omega$  such that  $\bar{\omega}_0 \subset \omega_d \cap (\bigcap_{j=1}^{n-1} \omega_{c_j})$ . Recalling that there exists a function  $\hat{\psi} \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R})$  such that<sup>[4]</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\psi} > 0, \text{ in } \Omega, \hat{\psi} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, |\nabla \hat{\psi}| > 0 \text{ in } \overline{\Omega \setminus \omega_0} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

With the aid of the function  $\hat{\psi}$  defined above, we introduce a weight function as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= e^l, l = \lambda \varphi, \varphi = e^{s\hat{\psi}}, \psi = \psi(s, x) = \\ &= \frac{\hat{\psi}(x)}{\|\hat{\psi}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}} + b^2 - s^2 \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

here  $1 < b \leq 2$  will be given later,  $\lambda, \mu$  and  $s$  are parameters,  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ . Let  $(a_{jk})_{n \times n} = I_n$  in Ref. [3, Theorem 3.2], a short calculation can yield the following knowing result.

**Lemma 2.1** Let  $w \in C^2((-b, b) \times \Omega; \mathbb{C})$ , and  $l \in C^2((-b, b) \times \Omega; \mathbb{R})$  be given by (11). Then there is a constant  $\mu_0 > 0$  such that for all  $\mu \geq \mu_0$ , one can find two constants  $C = C(\mu) > 0$  and  $\lambda_2 = \lambda_2(\mu) > 0$  such that for all  $w \in H_0^1((-b, b) \times \Omega)$  and  $w_{ss} + \Delta w = f$  (in  $(-b, b) \times \Omega$ , in the sense of distribution) with  $f \in L^2((-b, b) \times \Omega)$ , and for all  $\lambda \geq \lambda_2$ , it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \mu^2 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \varphi (|\nabla w|^2 + |w_s|^2 + \\ \lambda^2 \mu^2 \varphi^2 |w|^2) dx ds \leq \\ C \left\{ \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 |f|^2 dx ds + \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\lambda\mu^2 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_0} \theta^2 \varphi (|\nabla w|^2 + |\tau_s|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \varphi^2 |\tau w|) \} \tag{12}$$

Based on Lemma 2.1, in this section we shall prove interpolation inequality for the following coupled elliptic system:

$$\begin{cases} P_s + \Delta P + AP + id(x)R^0 = G \\ \text{in } Q = (-2, 2) \times \Omega, \\ P = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma = (-2, 2) \times \partial\Omega \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

here  $G = (g^1, g^2, \dots, g_N)^T \in (L^2(Q))^N$ ,  $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N)^T$  and  $R^0 = (p_1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$ . In what follows, we will use the notations  $Q_{\omega^*} = (-2, 2) \times \omega^*$ ,  $\omega^* = (\bigcap_{j=1}^{n-1} \omega_{c_j}) \cap \omega_d$ .

First, we have the following interpolation inequality for system (13).

**Lemma 2.2** Under the assumptions in Theorem 1.1, there exists constants  $C > 0, \epsilon_0 > 0$  such that for any  $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$ , every solution  $P$  of system (13) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|P\|_{L^2(-1,1; H_0^1(\Omega))} &\leq \\ C\epsilon^{\frac{C}{\epsilon}} [\|G\|_{(L^2(Q))^N} + \|p_1\|_{L^2(Q_{\omega^*})}] &+ \\ C\epsilon^{-\frac{2}{\epsilon}} \|P\|_{H^1(-2,2; H_0^1(\Omega))} &\tag{14} \end{aligned}$$

The rest of this section is devoted to proving Lemma 2.2.

**Proof of Lemma 2.2** The proof is based on the global Carleman estimate presented in Lemma 2.1. The main difficulty is to estimate the energy of the coupled system  $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N)$  localized in  $\omega^*$  by  $\int_{\omega^*} |p_1|^2 dx$ . We divide the proof into four steps.

**Step 1.** Note that there no boundary conditions for  $P$  at  $s = \pm 2$  in system (13). Therefore, we introduce a cut-off function  $\varphi = \varphi(s) \in C_c^\infty(-b, b)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq \varphi(s) \leq 1 & |s| < b, \\ \varphi(s) = 1 & |s| \leq b_0 \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

where  $1 < b_0 < b \leq 2$  are given follows:

$$\begin{aligned} b &= \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{\mu} \ln(2 + e^\mu)}, \\ b_0 &= \sqrt{b^2 - \frac{1}{\mu} \ln(1 + e^{-\mu})}, \quad \forall \mu > \ln 2 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Put

$$\hat{P} = \varphi P = (\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, \dots, \hat{p}_N) \tag{17}$$

Then, noting that  $\varphi$  does not depend on  $x$ , by (13), it follows

$$\begin{cases} \hat{P}_s + \Delta \hat{P} + A \hat{P} + id(x)\varphi R^0 = \\ \varphi_s P + 2\varphi_s P_s + \varphi G \text{ in } Q, \\ \hat{P} = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

For system (18), by using Lemma 2.1 (with  $w$  replaced by  $\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, \dots, \hat{p}_N$  respectively), we conclude that there is a  $\mu_0 > 0$  such that for all  $\mu \geq \mu_0$ , one can find two constants  $C = C(\mu) > 0$  and  $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(\mu) > 0$  so that for all  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ , it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda\mu^2 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \varphi (|\nabla \hat{P}|^2 + |\hat{P}_s|^2 + \\ \lambda^2 \mu^2 \varphi^2 |\hat{P}|^2) dx ds \leq \\ C \{ \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 |\varphi_s P + 2\varphi_s P_s + \varphi G - \\ id(x)\varphi R^0 - A \hat{P}|^2 dx ds \} + \\ C\lambda\mu^2 \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_0} \theta^2 \varphi (|\nabla \hat{P}|^2 + |\hat{P}_s|^2 + \\ \lambda^2 \mu^2 \varphi^2 |\hat{P}|^2) dx ds \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

**Step 2.** Let us estimate

$$\int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_0} \theta^2 (|\partial_s \hat{p}_j|^2 + |\nabla \hat{p}_j|^2) dx ds$$

and

$$\int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_0} \theta^2 |\hat{p}_j|^2 dx ds \text{ for } j = 2, 3, \dots, N.$$

Recalling that  $\hat{P} = (\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, \dots, \hat{p}_N)^T$ ,  $R^0 = (p_1, 0, \dots, 0)$  and  $G = (g^1, g^2, \dots, g^N)$ , by (3), (4), system (18) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_s \hat{p}_1 + \Delta \hat{p}_1 + c_1(x)\hat{p}_2 + id(x)\hat{p}_1 = \\ \varphi_s \hat{p}_1 + 2\varphi_s \partial_s \hat{p}_1 + \varphi g^1 \text{ in } Q, \\ \partial_s \hat{p}_j + \Delta \hat{p}_j + c_{j-1}(x)\hat{p}_{j-1} + c_j(x)\hat{p}_{j+1} = \\ \varphi_s \hat{p}_j + 2\varphi_s \partial_s \hat{p}_j + \varphi g^j \text{ in } Q, \\ j = 2, \dots, N-1, \\ \partial_s \hat{p}_N + \Delta \hat{p}_N + c_{N-1}(x)\hat{p}_{N-1} = \varphi_s \hat{p}_N + \\ 2\varphi_s \partial_s \hat{p}_N + \varphi g^N \text{ in } Q \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

We choose cut-off function  $\eta \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}; [0, 1])$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \eta(x) = 1, \quad \forall x \in \omega_0, \\ 0 < \eta(x) \leq 1, \quad \forall x \in \omega^*, \\ \eta(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in \Omega \setminus \omega^* \end{cases} \tag{21}$$

Multiplying the second equation of (20) by  $\theta^2 \eta^{m_2} \overline{\hat{p}_2}$ , it is to see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\theta^2 \eta^{m_2} \overline{\hat{p}_2} [\partial_{ss} \hat{p}_2 + \Delta \hat{p}_2] = \\ &(\theta^2 \eta^{m_2} \overline{\hat{p}_2} \partial_s \hat{p}_2)_s - \theta^2 \eta^{m_2} |\partial_s \hat{p}_2|^2 - \\ &(\theta^2 \eta^{m_2})_s \overline{\hat{p}_2} \partial_s \hat{p}_2 + \\ &\sum_{j=1}^n [\theta^2 \eta^{m_2} \overline{\hat{p}_2} \partial_{x_j} \hat{p}_2]_{x_j} - \theta^2 \eta^{m_2} |\nabla \hat{p}_2|^2 - \\ &\sum_{j=1}^n (\theta^2 \eta^{m_2})_{x_j} \overline{\hat{p}_2} \partial_{x_j} \hat{p}_2 \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Integrating (22) on  $(-b, b) \times \Omega$  and noting that  $\hat{p}_2(b) = \hat{p}_2(-b) = 0$  in  $\Omega$ , by (11) and (20), we see that for big enough  $\lambda$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \eta^{m_3} (|\nabla \hat{p}_2|^2 + |\partial_s \hat{p}_2|^2) dx ds \leq \\ &\frac{C_1}{\lambda^{l_2}} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 |\varphi_{ss} p_2 + 2\varphi_s \partial_s p_2 + \varphi g^2 - \\ &c_1(x) \hat{p}_1 - c_2(x) \hat{p}_3|^2 dx ds + \\ &\frac{C_1}{\lambda^{l_2}} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 |\nabla \hat{p}_2|^2 dx ds + \\ &C_1 \lambda^{2l_2} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \eta^{m_{2l_1-1}} |\hat{p}_2|^2 dx ds \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

**Step 3.** Let us estimate

$$\int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \eta^{m_2-1} |\hat{p}_2|^2 dx ds.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\theta^2 \eta^{m_2-1} \overline{\hat{p}_2} [\partial_{ss} \hat{p}_1 + \Delta \hat{p}_1] = \\ &\theta^2 \eta^{m_2-1} \hat{p}_1 [\partial_{ss} \overline{\hat{p}_2} + \Delta \overline{\hat{p}_2}] + \\ &[\theta^2 \eta^{m_2-1} (\overline{\hat{p}_2} \partial_s \hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_1 \partial_s \overline{\hat{p}_2})]_s - \\ &(\theta^2 \eta^{m_2-1})_s \overline{\hat{p}_2} \partial_s \hat{p}_1 + [(\theta^2 \eta^{m_2-1})_s \overline{\hat{p}_2} \hat{p}_1]_s - \\ &[(\theta^2 \eta^{m_2-1})_s \hat{p}_1]_s \overline{\hat{p}_2} + \\ &\sum_{j=1}^n [\theta^2 \eta^{m_2-1} (\overline{\hat{p}_2} \partial_{x_j} \hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_1 \partial_{x_j} \overline{\hat{p}_2})]_{x_j} - \\ &\sum_{j=1}^n (\theta^2 \eta^{m_2-1})_{x_j} \overline{\hat{p}_2} \partial_{x_j} \hat{p}_1 + \\ &\sum_{j=1}^n [(\theta^2 \eta^{m_2-1})_{x_j} \overline{\hat{p}_2} \hat{p}_1]_{x_j} - \\ &\sum_{j=1}^n [(\theta^2 \eta^{m_2-1})_{x_j} \hat{p}_1]_{x_j} \overline{\hat{p}_2} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

On the other hand, multiplying the first equation of (20) by  $\theta^2 \eta^{m_2-1} \overline{\hat{p}_2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &c_1(x) \theta^2 \eta^{m_2-1} |\hat{p}_2|^2 = \\ &-\theta^2 \eta^{m_2-1} \overline{\hat{p}_2} [\partial_{ss} \hat{p}_1 + \Delta \hat{p}_1] + \end{aligned}$$

$$\theta^2 \eta^{m_2-1} \overline{\hat{p}_2} [\varphi_{ss} p_1 + 2\varphi_s \partial_s p_1 + \varphi g^1 - id(x) \hat{p}_1] \quad (25)$$

Now, integrating (25) on  $(-b, b) \times \Omega$ , noting that  $\hat{p}_j(b) = \hat{p}_j(-b) = 0$  ( $j=1, 2$ ) in  $\Omega$ , by (5), (11), (20), (24), we find that for big enough  $\lambda$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \eta^{m_2-1} |\hat{p}_2|^2 dx ds \leq \\ &C_1 e^{C_1 \lambda} \left[ \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} (|g^1|^2 + |g^2|^2) dx ds + \right. \\ &\left. \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega^*} |p_1|^2 dx ds \right] + \\ &C_2(\lambda) \int_{(-b, -b_0) \cup (b_0, b)} \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 (|p_1|^2 + \\ &|\partial_s p_1|^2 + |p_2|^2 + |\partial_s p_2|^2) dx ds + \\ &C_1 \lambda^{2k_2} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \eta^{m_2-3} (|\partial_s \hat{p}_1|^2 + \\ &|\nabla \hat{p}_1|^2) dx ds + \\ &\frac{C_1}{\lambda^{k_2}} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \eta^{m_2-3} (|\hat{p}_2|^2 + |\hat{p}_3|^2) dx ds \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Also, similar to (23), multiplying the first equation of (20) by  $\theta^2 \eta^{m_1} \overline{\hat{p}_1}$ , integrating it on  $(-b, b) \times \Omega$ , using integration by parts and noting that  $\hat{p}_1(b) = \hat{p}_1(-b) = 0$  in  $\Omega$ , by a simple calculation we conclude that for big enough  $\lambda$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \eta^{m_1} (|\partial_s \hat{p}_1|^2 + |\nabla \hat{p}_1|^2) dx ds \leq \\ &\frac{C_1}{\lambda^{l_1}} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 |\varphi_{ss} p_1 + 2\varphi_s \partial_s p_1 + \varphi g^1 - \\ &id(x) \hat{p}_1 - c_1(x) \hat{p}_2|^2 dx ds + \\ &\frac{C_1}{\lambda^{l_1}} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 (|\partial_s \hat{p}_1|^2 + |\nabla \hat{p}_1|^2) dx ds + \\ &C_1 e^{C_1 \lambda} \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega^*} |p_1|^2 dx ds \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where  $m_i, l_i, k_i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m_i \geq 5, i=1, 2$ . They can be selected according to necessity.

Similarly, we can give the estimations of

$$\int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_0} \theta^2 (|\partial_s \hat{p}_j|^2 + |\nabla \hat{p}_j|^2) dx ds$$

and

$$\int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega_0} \theta^2 |\hat{p}_j|^2 dx ds \text{ for } j = 3, \dots, N.$$

**Step 4.** Combining (15), (19), (23), (26),

(27) and noting that  $\hat{P} = \varphi P$ , we have

$$\lambda \mu^2 \int_{-1}^1 \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 \varphi (|\nabla P|^2 + |P_s|^2 +$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda^2 \mu^2 \varphi^2 |P|^2 dx ds \leq \\ & C_3 e^{C_3 \lambda} \left\{ \int_{-b}^b \int_{\Omega} |G|^2 dx ds + \int_{-b}^b \int_{\omega^*} |p_1|^2 dx ds \right\} + \\ & C_4(\lambda) \int_{(-b, -b_0) \cup (b_0, b)} \int_{\Omega} \theta^2 (|P|^2 + \\ & |P_s|^2) dx ds \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

for big enough  $\lambda$ . Next, recalling (11) and (16) for the definitions of  $\varphi$  and  $b, b_0$ , it is easy to check that

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(s, \cdot) \geq 2 + e^\mu, & |s| \leq 1, \\ \varphi(s, \cdot) \leq 1 + e^\mu, & b_0 \leq |s| \leq b \end{cases} \tag{29}$$

Finally, denote  $c_0 = 2 + e^\mu$ . Fixing the parameter  $\mu$  in (19), and using (29), one finds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda e^{2\lambda c_0} \int_{-1}^1 \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla P|^2 + |P_s|^2 + |P|^2) dx ds \leq \\ & C_5 e^{C_5 \lambda} \left\{ \int_{-2}^2 \int_{\Omega} |G|^2 dx ds + \int_{-2}^2 \int_{\omega^*} |p_1|^2 dx ds \right\} + \\ & C_6(\lambda) e^{2\lambda(c_0-1)} \int_{(-b, -b_0) \cup (b_0, b)} \int_{\Omega} (|P|^2 + \\ & |P_s|^2) dx ds \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

From (30), one concludes that there exists an  $\epsilon_1 > 0$  such that the desired inequality (14) holds for  $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_1]$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2.  $C_1, C_3, C_5$  in this section are positive constants which are only related to  $\mu, C_2(\lambda), C_4(\lambda), C_6(\lambda)$  are polynomials about  $\lambda$ .

### 3 Proof of the main result

In this part, we shall give the proof of logarithmic decay results. As we mentioned before, we only need to establish the following resolvent estimate for the elliptic system. Thus, we only to prove the existence and the estimate of the norm of  $(B - \lambda I)^{-1}$ ,  $\text{Re} \lambda \in \left[ \frac{-e^{-c\sqrt{|\text{Im} \lambda}|}}{C}, 0 \right]$  stated in Theorem 1.2.

We divide the proof into two steps.

**Step 1.** Let  $F \in H, Y^0 = (y_1^0, y_2^0, \dots, y_N^0)^T \in D(B)$ . It is easy to see that the following equation

$$(B - \lambda I)Y^0 = F \tag{31}$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} i\Delta Y^0 - d(x)Z^0 + iAY^0 - \lambda Y^0 = F \text{ in } \Omega, \\ Y^0 = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \tag{32}$$

where  $Z^0 = (y_1^0, 0, \dots, 0)^T$ . Put

$$P = e^{\sqrt{\lambda}s} Y^0 = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N)^T,$$

$$R^0 = e^{\sqrt{\lambda}s} Z^0 = (r_1, 0, \dots, 0)^T \tag{33}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{cases} P_{ss} + \Delta P + id(x)R^0 + AP = \\ -ie^{\sqrt{\lambda}s} F \text{ in } Q, \\ P = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma \end{cases} \tag{34}$$

**Step 2.** By (33), we have the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \|Y^0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq \\ & Ce^{c\sqrt{|\text{Im} \lambda}|} \|P\|_{L^2(-1,1; H_0^1(\Omega))}, \\ & \|P\|_{H^1(-2,2; H_0^1(\Omega))} \leq \\ & Ce^{c\sqrt{|\text{Im} \lambda}|} \|Y^0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}, \|p_1\|_{L^2(Q_{\omega^*})} \leq \\ & Ce^{c\sqrt{|\text{Im} \lambda}|} \|y_1^0\|_{L^2(\omega^*)} \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Now, applying Lemma 2.2 into system (34), and combining (35), (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Y^0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq Ce^{c\sqrt{|\text{Im} \lambda}|} [\|F\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \\ & \|y_1^0\|_{L^2(\omega^*)}] \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

By multiplying the first equation of (34) by  $2\overline{y_1^0}$  and integrating it on  $\Omega$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left[ \begin{array}{c} -\Delta y_1^0 - i\lambda y_1^0 - id(x)y_1^0 \\ c_1(x)y_2^0 \end{array} \right] 2\overline{y_1^0} dx = \\ & -2i\lambda \int_{\Omega} |y_1^0|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla y_1^0|^2 dx - \\ & 2i \int_{\Omega} d(x) |y_1^0|^2 dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} c_1(x) y_2^0 \overline{y_1^0} dx \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Likewise, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta y_j^0 - i\lambda y_j^0 - c_{j-1}(x)y_{j-1}^0 - \\ & c_j(x)y_{j+1}^0) \cdot 2\overline{y_j^0} dx = \\ & -2i\lambda \int_{\Omega} |y_j^0|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla y_j^0|^2 dx - \\ & 2 \int_{\Omega} c_j(x) y_{j+1}^0 \overline{y_j^0} dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} c_{j-1}(x) y_{j-1}^0 \overline{y_j^0} dx, \\ & j = 2, \dots, N-1 \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta y_N^0 - i\lambda y_N^0 - c_{N-1}(x)y_{N-1}^0) \cdot 2\overline{y_N^0} dx = \\ & -2i\lambda \int_{\Omega} |y_N^0|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla y_N^0|^2 dx - \\ & 2 \int_{\Omega} c_{N-1}(x) y_{N-1}^0 \overline{y_N^0} dx \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Taking the imaginary part in the both sides of (37)~(39), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} d(x) |y_1^0|^2 dx = \\ & -\text{Re} \lambda \|Y^0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} - \text{Re} \int_{\Omega} F \overline{Y^0} dx \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Since  $\omega^* \subset \omega_d$ ,  $d(x) \geq d_0 > 0$  on  $\omega_d$ , therefore

$$d_0 \int_{\omega^*} |y_1^0|^2 dx \leq |\operatorname{Re} \lambda| \|Y^0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Omega} |F| |Y^0| dx \tag{41}$$

Combining (36) and (41), we arrive at

$$\|Y^0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq C e^{c\sqrt{|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|}} [\|F\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + |\operatorname{Re} \lambda| \|Y^0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}] \tag{42}$$

When

$$C e^{c\sqrt{|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|}} |\operatorname{Re} \lambda| \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

we find that

$$\|Y^0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq C e^{c\sqrt{|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|}} \|F\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \tag{43}$$

By (43), we know that  $B - \lambda I$  is injective. Therefore  $B - \lambda I$  is bi-injective from  $D(B)$  to  $H$ . Thus we can find a sufficiently large constant  $C > 0$  satisfying

$$\|B - \lambda I\|_{L(H)}^{-1} \leq C e^{c\sqrt{|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|}},$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \lambda \in \left[ -\frac{e^{-c\sqrt{|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|}}}{C}, 0 \right], |\lambda| > 1.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. 2.

**References:**

[1] Burq N. Décroissance de l'énergie locale de l'équation des ondes pour le problème extérieur et absence de

résonance au voisinage du réel [J]. Acta Math, 1998, 180: 1.  
 [2] Christianson H. Applications of cutoff resolvent estimates to the wave equations [J]. Math Res Lett, 2009, 16: 577.  
 [3] Fu X. Sharp decay rates for the weakly coupled hyperbolic system with one internal damping [J]. SIAM J Control Optim, 2011, 50: 1643.  
 [4] Fursikov A V, Imanuvilov O Y. Controllability of evolution equations [M]. Seoul: Seoul National University Press, 1996.  
 [5] Ilhem H. Polynomial decay for coupled Schrödinger equations with variable coefficients and damped by one Dirichlet boundary feedback [J]. B Belg Math Soc-SIM, 2010, 17: 593.  
 [6] Ilhem H, Rebiai S E. Indirect boundary stabilization of a system of Schrödinger equations with variable coefficients [J]. Nonlinear Differ Equat Appl, 2008, 15: 639.  
 [7] Lebeau G. Contrôle de l'équation de Schrödinger [J]. J Math Pure Appl, 1992, 71: 267.  
 [8] Lebeau G. Equation des ondes amorties, in algebraic and geometric methods in mathematical physics [M]. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 1996.  
 [9] Machtyngier E, Zuazua E. Stabilization of the Schrödinger equation [J]. Port Math, 1994, 51: 243.