

doi: 10.3969/j.issn.0490-6756.2018.01.005

对数 Bergman 型空间到 Bloch 空间上的 Stevic-Sharma 算子

胡小波

(眉山职业技术学院师范教育系, 眉山 620010)

摘要: 设 D 是复平面中的开单位圆盘, φ 是 D 到自身的解析映射, $H(D)$ 是 D 上的解析函数空间. 为了统一研究复合算子、乘积算子和微分算子三者的乘积, Stevic 和 Sharma 引进了如下的 Stevic-Sharma 算子: $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f(z) = \psi_1(z) f(\varphi(z)) + \psi_2(z) f'(\varphi(z))$, $f \in H(D)$, 其中 $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in H(D)$. 本文利用符号函数给出了对数 Bergman 型空间到 Bloch 空间上 Stevic-Sharma 算子的有界性、紧性刻画.

关键词: 对数 Bergman 型空间; Bloch 空间; Stevic-Sharma 算子; 有界性; 紧性

中图分类号: O175.6 **文献标识码:** A **文章编号:** 0490-6756(2018)01-0025-06

Stevic-Sharma operators from logarithmic Bergman-type spaces to Bloch spaces

HU Xiao-Bo

(Sichuan Teachers Training Department, Meishan Vocational and Technical College, Meishan, 620010, China)

Abstract: Let D be the open unit disk in the complex plane \mathbf{C} , φ be an analytic self-map of D and $H(D)$ the space of all analytic functions on D . In order to unify the products of composition, multiplication and differentiation operators, Stevic and Sharma introduced the following Stevic-Sharma operator: $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f(z) = \psi_1(z) f(\varphi(z)) + \psi_2(z) f'(\varphi(z))$, $f \in H(D)$, where $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in H(D)$. Motivated by some recent results of this operator, the boundedness and compactness of the operator $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}$ from logarithmic Bergman-type space to Bloch space are characterized in this paper.

Keywords: Logarithmic Bergman-type space; Bloch space; Stevic-Sharma operator; Boundedness; Compactness

(2010 MSC 47B38, 47B33, 47B37)

1 Introduction

Let $D = \{z \in \mathbf{C}: |z| < 1\}$ be the open unit disk in the complex plane \mathbf{C} and $H(D)$ the class of all analytic functions on D . Let φ be an analytic self-map of D and $\psi \in H(D)$. The weighted composition operator $W_{\varphi, \psi}$ on $H(D)$ is defined by

$$W_{\varphi, \psi} f(z) = \psi(z) f(\varphi(z)), z \in D.$$

If $\psi \equiv 1$, it becomes the composition operator, usually denoted by C_φ . If $\varphi(z) = z$, it becomes the multiplication operator, usually denoted by M_ψ . Hence, since $W_{\varphi, \psi} = M_\psi C_\varphi$, it is a product-type operator. A natural problem is to provide function theoretic characterizations when φ and ψ induce a bounded or compact weighted composition operator (see, e. g., Refs. [1~5] and the references therein).

A systematic study of other product-type operators started by Stevic and his collaborators since the publication of papers^[6,7]. Before that there were a few papers in the topic, e. g. , Ref. [8]. The differentiation operator on $H(D)$ is defined by

$$Df(z) = f'(z), z \in D.$$

The product-type operators DC_φ and $C_\varphi D$ attracted some attention first (see, e. g. , Refs. [9~12] and the references therein). The publication of Ref. [7] attracted some attention in product-type operators involving integral-type ones (see, e. g. , Refs. [13~17] and the references therein). Since that time there has been a great interest in various product-type operators on spaces of holomorphic functions. For example, the following six product-type operators from Bergman spaces to Bloch type spaces

$$M_\psi C_\varphi D, M_\psi DC_\varphi, C_\varphi M_\psi D, C_\varphi DM_\psi, DC_\varphi M_\psi, DM_\psi C_\varphi \tag{1}$$

were studied by Sharma in Ref. [18]. The next product-type operators $W_{\varphi,\psi}D$ and $DW_{\varphi,\psi}$, which were considered in Refs. [19] and [20], are included in (1) as the first and sixth operators respectively. For some other studies of In order to treat operators in (1) in a unified manner, Stevic and Sharma introduced the following Stevic-Sharma operator

$$T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f(z) = \psi_1 f(\varphi(z)) + \psi_2(z) f'(\varphi(z)), f \in H(D) \tag{2}$$

For example, in Refs. [21] and [22] the operator was studied on the weighted Bergman space.

By using Stevic-Sharma operator all six possible products of composition, multiplication and differentiation operators can be obtained. More specifically we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_\psi C_\varphi D &= T_{0, \psi, \varphi}, M_\psi DC_\varphi = T_{0, \psi\varphi', \varphi}, \\ C_\varphi M_\psi D &= T_{\psi' \circ \varphi, \psi \circ \varphi, \varphi}, DM_\psi C_\varphi = T_{\psi', \psi\varphi', \varphi}, \\ DC_\varphi M_\psi &= T_{\psi' \circ \varphi, \psi \circ \varphi, \varphi}, \end{aligned}$$

We characterize the boundedness and compactness of the Stevic-Sharma operator from logarithmic Bergman-type space to Bloch space in this paper. As the applications of our main results, readers

can obtain some characterizations for the boundedness and compactness for all six product-type operators in (1).

Now we present the needed spaces and some facts. The Bloch space B consists of all $f \in H(D)$ such that

$$b(f) := \sup_{z \in D} (1 - |z|^2) |f'(z)| < \infty.$$

It is a Banach space with the norm $f_B = |f(0)| + b(f)$. Obviously, the quantity $b(f)$ is a seminorm on the space B and a norm on the quotient space B/P_0 , where P_0 is the set of all constant functions. For some results on Bloch spaces and some concrete operators on them, see, for example, Refs. [1, 3, 10] and the references therein.

Let $dA(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} dx dy$ be the normalized Lebesgue measure on D . For $-1 < \gamma < \infty, \delta \leq 0$ and $0 < p < \infty$, the logarithmic Bergman-type space $A_{\omega_{\gamma,\delta}}^p$ consist of all $f \in H(D)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{A_{\omega_{\gamma,\delta}}^p}^p = \int_D |f(z)|^p \omega_{\gamma,\delta}(z) < \infty,$$

where the weight function $\omega_{\gamma,\delta}(z)$ is defined by

$$\omega_{\gamma,\delta}(z) = \left(\log \frac{1}{|z|}\right)^\gamma \left[\log \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log |z|}\right)\right]^\delta.$$

For $p \geq 1$ it is a Banach space, while for $0 < p < 1$ it is a translation invariant metric space with the metric given by $d(f, g) = \|f - g\|_{A_{\omega_{\gamma,\delta}}^p}$. From a calculation and the fact $\int_0^1 \omega_{\gamma,\delta}(r) r dr < \infty$, it is easily seen that $H^\infty \subset A_{\omega_{\gamma,\delta}}^p$. In fact, this containment is proper since the function $k_{w,t}(z)$ in Section 2 is in $A_{\omega_{\gamma,\delta}}^p$ but not in H^∞ . In particular, every complex polynomial function belongs to $A_{\omega_{\gamma,\delta}}^p$. Some properties of this kind of space were studied by Jiang in Ref. [24].

Let X and Y be two topological vector spaces whose topologies are given by the translation invariant metrics d_X and d_Y . A linear operator $L: X \rightarrow Y$ is bounded if there exists a positive constant K such that

$$d_Y(Lf, 0) \leq K d_X(f, 0)$$

for all $f \in X$. The operator $L: X \rightarrow Y$ is compact if it maps bounded sets into relatively compact sets.

Throughout this paper, positive constant C may differ from one occurrence to the other.

2 Auxiliary results

In order to characterize the compactness, we need the following result which was proved in a standard way. So, the proof is omitted.

Lemma 2.1 Let φ be an analytic self-map of D and $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in H(D)$. Then the bounded operator $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : A_{\omega, \gamma, \delta}^p \rightarrow B$ is compact if and only if for every bounded sequence $\{f_j\}$ in $A_{\omega, \gamma, \delta}^p$ such that $f_j \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on every compact subset of D as $j \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_j\|_B = 0.$$

The following useful results were obtained in Ref. [24].

Lemma 2.2 Let $-1 < \gamma < \infty, \delta \leq 0, 0 < p < \infty$ and $0 < r < 2/3$. Then for each $k \in \mathbf{N}_0$, there exists a positive constant $C_k = C(\gamma, \delta, p, r, k)$ independent of $f \in A_{\omega, \gamma, \delta}^p$ and $z \in \{z \in D : |z| > r\}$ such that

$$|f^{(k)}(z)| \leq \frac{C_k}{(1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{\gamma+2}{p}+k}} \left[\log \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log|z|} \right) \right]^{-\frac{\delta}{p}} \|f\|_{A_{\omega, \gamma, \delta}^p}.$$

The above lemma does not provide any relation between $|f^{(k)}(0)|$ and $\|f\|_{A_{\omega, \gamma, \delta}^p}$. But from this lemma and the maximum module theorem, we obtain the following result.

Lemma 2.3 Let $-1 < \gamma < \infty, \delta \leq 0, 0 < p < \infty$ and $0 < r < 2/3$. Then for all $f \in A_{\omega, \gamma, \delta}^p$, we have

$$|f^{(k)}(0)| \leq \frac{C_k}{(1 - r^2)^{\frac{\gamma+2}{p}+k}} \left[\log \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log r} \right) \right]^{-\frac{\delta}{p}} \|f\|_{A_{\omega, \gamma, \delta}^p},$$

where C_k is the constant in Lemma 2.2.

The following function is in $A_{\omega, \gamma, \delta}^p$, which will be used in the proofs on the main results.

Lemma 2.4 Let $-1 < \gamma < \infty, \delta \leq 0, 0 < p < \infty$ and $0 < r < 1$. Then for every $t > 0$ and $w \in D$ with $|\omega| > r$, the following function belongs to $A_{\omega, \gamma, \delta}^p$

$$k_{w,t}(z) = \left[\log \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log|\omega|} \right) \right]^{-\frac{\delta}{p}}$$

$$\frac{(1 - |\omega|^2)^{-\frac{\delta}{p}+t}}{(1 - \omega z)^{\frac{\gamma-\delta+2}{p}+t}}, z \in D.$$

Moreover, there exists a constant C independent of $k_{w,t}$ such that

$$\sup_{\{w \in D : |\omega| > r\}} \|k_{w,t}\|_{A_{\omega, \gamma, \delta}^p} \leq C.$$

Lemma 2.5 Let $-1 < \gamma < \infty, \delta \leq 0, 0 < p < \infty$ and $0 < r < 1$. Let $w \in D$ with $|\omega| > r$ and $m \in \mathbf{N}_0$. Then for each $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m+2\}$, there exist constants $a_{1,k}, a_{1,k}, \dots, a_{m+3,k}$ such that the function

$$f_{w,k}(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{m+3} a_{i,k} k_{w,i}(z)$$

satisfies

$$f_{w,k}^{(k)}(w) = \left[\log \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log|\omega|} \right) \right]^{-\frac{\delta}{p}} \frac{\omega^k}{(1 - |\omega|^2)^{\frac{\gamma+2}{p}+k}} \text{ and } f_{w,k}^{(i)}(w) = 0 \quad (3)$$

for each $j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m+2\} \setminus \{k\}$. Moreover, there exists a constant C independent of $k_{w,k}$ such that

$$\sup_{\{w \in D : |\omega| > r\}} \|f_{w,k}\|_{A_{\omega, \gamma, \delta}^p} \leq C.$$

Remark 1 As a special case, we will use the case $m=0$ in Lemma 5 in the proof of main results.

3 Main results

First we characterize the boundedness of operator $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : A_{\omega, \gamma, \delta}^p \rightarrow B$.

Theorem 3.1 Let φ be an analytic self-map of D and $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in H(D)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) The operator $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : A_{\omega, \gamma, \delta}^p \rightarrow B$ is bounded;
- (ii) For each $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, it follows that M_k

$$= \sup_{z \in D} M_k(z) < \infty, \text{ where}$$

$$M_0(z) =$$

$$\frac{(1 - |z|^2) |\psi_1'(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{\gamma+2}{p}}} \left[\log \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log|\varphi(z)|} \right) \right]^{-\frac{\delta}{p}},$$

$$M_1(z) =$$

$$\frac{(1 - |z|^2) |\psi_1'(z)\varphi'(z) + \psi_2'(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{\gamma+2}{p}+1}}$$

$$\left[\log \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log|\varphi(z)|} \right) \right]^{-\frac{\delta}{p}},$$

and

$$M_2(z) =$$

$$\frac{(1 - |z|^2) |\varphi'(z)| \|\psi_2(z)\|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{\gamma+2}{p}+2}}$$

$$\left[\log \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log |\varphi(z)|} \right) \right]^{-\frac{\delta}{p}}$$

Proof (i)⇒(ii). Suppose that $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : A_{\omega, r, \delta}^p \rightarrow B$ is bounded. Set $h_0(z) \equiv 1 \in A_{\omega, r, \delta}^p$. Then we get

$$L_0 = \sup_{z \in D} (1 - |z|^2) |(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} h_0)'(z)| = \sup_{z \in D} (1 - |z|^2) |\psi_1'(z)| \leq C \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\| \quad (4)$$

Setting $h_1(z) = z \in A_{\omega, r, \delta}^p$, we have

$$b(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} h_1) = \sup_{z \in D} (1 - |z|^2) |\psi_1'(z)\varphi(z) + \psi_2(z)\varphi'(z)| \leq C \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\| \quad (5)$$

By using (5), the boundedness of φ and the triangle inequality, we have

$$L_1 = \sup_{z \in D} (1 - |z|^2) |\psi_1(z)\varphi'(z) + \psi_2'(z)| \leq C \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\| \quad (6)$$

Also, setting $h_2(z) = z^2$, we have

$$b(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} h_2) = \sup_{z \in D} (1 - |z|^2) |\psi_1'(z)\varphi^2(z) + 2(\psi_1(z)\varphi'(z) + \psi_2'(z))\varphi(z) + 2\psi_2(z)\varphi'(z)| \leq C \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\| \quad (7)$$

Once again, by using (4), (6), (7), the boundedness of φ and the triangle inequality, we obtain

$$L_2 = \sup_{z \in D} (1 - |z|^2) \psi_2(z) \|\varphi'(z)\| \leq C \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}\| \quad (8)$$

Let $r \in (0, 2/3)$ be fixed. For a fixed $w \in D$ with $|\varphi(w)| > r$ and $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, by Lemma 2.5, there exist constants $a_{1,k}, a_{2,k}, a_{3,k}$ such that the function

$$f_{\varphi \langle w \rangle, k}(z) = \sum_{i=1}^3 a_{i,k} k \varphi \langle w \rangle(z),$$

satisfies

$$f_{\varphi \langle w \rangle, k}^{(k)}(\varphi(w)) = \frac{\overline{\varphi(w)}^k}{(1 - |\varphi(w)|^2)^{\frac{r+2}{p} + k}}$$

$$\left[\log \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log |\varphi(w)|} \right) \right]^{-\frac{\delta}{p}}$$

and

$$f_{\varphi \langle w \rangle, k}^{(j)}(\varphi(w)) = 0 \quad (9)$$

for each $j \in \{0, 1, 2\} \setminus \{k\}$, moreover

$$\sup_{\{w \in D: |\varphi \langle w \rangle| > r\}} \|f_{\varphi \langle w \rangle, k}\|_{A_{\omega, r, \delta}^p} \leq C \quad (10)$$

Then from (7), (10) and the boundedness of

$T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : A_{\omega, r, \delta}^p \rightarrow B$, we have

$$b(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \omega} f) = \sup_{z \in D} (1 - |z|^2) |(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \omega} f)'(z)| = \sup_{z \in D} (1 - |z|^2) |\psi_1'(z)f(\bar{\omega}(z)) +$$

$$\begin{aligned} & [\psi_1(z)\bar{\omega}'(z) + \psi_2'(z)]f'(\bar{\omega}(z)) + \psi_2(z)f''(\bar{\omega}(z)) \leq \\ & \left(\sup_{|\bar{\omega}(z)| \leq r} + \sup_{|\bar{\omega}(z)| > r} \right) (1 - |z|^2) |\psi_1'(z)f(\bar{\omega}(z)) + \\ & [\psi_1(z)\bar{\omega}'(z) + \psi_2'(z)]f'(\bar{\omega}(z)) + \psi_2(z)f''(\bar{\omega}(z)) \leq \sup_{|\bar{\omega}(z)| \leq r} |\psi_1'(z)f(\bar{\omega}(z)) + \\ & [\psi_1(z)\bar{\omega}'(z) + \psi_2'(z)]f'(\bar{\omega}(z)) + \psi_2(z)f''(\bar{\omega}(z)) + \sup_{|\bar{\omega}(z)| > r} |\psi_1'(z)f(\bar{\omega}(z)) + \\ & [\psi_1(z)\bar{\omega}'(z) + \psi_2'(z)]f'(\bar{\omega}(z)) + \psi_2(z)f''(\bar{\omega}(z)) \leq \max_{|\bar{\omega}(z)| = r} |\psi_1'(z)f(\bar{\omega}(z)) + \\ & [\psi_1(z)\bar{\omega}'(z) + \psi_2'(z)]f'(\bar{\omega}(z)) + \psi_2(z)f''(\bar{\omega}(z)) + \sum_{k=0}^2 C_k M_k \|f\|_{A_{\omega, r, \delta}^p} \leq \\ & \left(\sum_{k=0}^2 \frac{IC_k}{(1-r^2)^{\frac{r+2}{p} + k}} [\log(1 - \frac{1}{\log r})]^{-\frac{\delta}{p}} + \sum_{k=0}^2 C_k M_k \right) \|f\|_{A_{\omega, r, \delta}^p} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where

$$I = \max \left\{ \max_{|\varphi \langle z \rangle| = r} |\psi_1'(z)|, \max_{|\varphi \langle z \rangle = r|} |\psi_1(z)\varphi'(z) + \psi_2'(z)|, \max_{|\varphi \langle z \rangle| = r} |\psi_2(z)\varphi'(z)| \right\} < \infty.$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 2.3, we see that if $\varphi(0) = 0$, then

$$|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f(0)| = |\psi_1(0)f(0) + \psi_2(0)f'(0)| \leq C \|f\|_{A_{\omega, r, \delta}^p} \quad (12)$$

where

$$C = \left(\frac{C_0 |\psi_1(0)|}{(1-r^2)^{\frac{r+2}{p}}} + \frac{C_1 |\psi_2(0)|}{(1-r^2)^{\frac{r+2}{p} + 1}} \right) \left[\log \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log r} \right) \right]^{-\frac{\delta}{p}} < \infty.$$

If $\varphi(0) \neq 0$, then by Lemma 2.2 it is clear that

$$|(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f)(0)| \leq C \|f\|_{A_{\omega, r, \delta}^p} \quad (13)$$

Hence, from (11)~(13) it follows that the operator $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : A_{\omega, r, \delta}^p \rightarrow B$ is bounded. The proof is finished.

Next we characterize the compactness of operator $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : A_{\omega, r, \delta}^p \rightarrow B$.

Theorem 3.2 Let φ be an analytic self-map of D and $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in H(D)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) The operator $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} : A_{\omega, r, \delta}^p \rightarrow B$ is compact;
- (ii) For each $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, it follows that $L_k < \infty$ and $\lim_{|\varphi \langle z \rangle| \rightarrow 1} M_K(z) = 0$, where L_k and $M_k(z)$ are defined in Theorem 3.1.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Suppose that (i) holds. Then it is clear that the operator $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}: A_{\omega_{\gamma, \delta}}^P \rightarrow B$ is bounded. In the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have shown that $L_k < \infty$ for each $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Consider a sequence $\{\varphi(z_i)\}$ in D such that $|\varphi(z_i)| \rightarrow 1$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. If such sequence does not exist, then the last two conditions (ii) obviously hold. We may suppose, without loss of generality, that $|\varphi(z_i)| > 1/2$ for all $i \in \mathbf{N}$. For each fixed $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, we define $f_{i,k}(z) = f_{\varphi(z_i), k}$. Then by Lemma 2.5 it follows that the function $f_{i,k}$ satisfies

$$f_{i,k}^{(k)}(\varphi(z_i)) = \frac{\overline{\varphi(z_i)}^k}{(1 - |\varphi(z_i)|^2)^{\frac{\gamma+2}{p}+k}}$$

$$\left[\log \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log |\varphi(z_i)|} \right) \right]^{-\frac{\delta}{p}}$$

and

$$f_{i,k}^{(j)}(\varphi(z_i)) = 0 \tag{14}$$

For each $j \in \{0, 1, 2\} \setminus \{k\}$, moreover $\sup_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \|f_{i,k}\|_{A_{\omega_{\gamma, \delta}}^p} \leq C$. From Remark 2.1 in Ref. [24], it follows that $f_i \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on every compact subset of D as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Then by Lemma 2.1

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_i\|_B = 0.$$

From this, Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, and since L_k is finite, we obtain

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} M_k(z_i) = 0 \tag{15}$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). We first prove that $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}: A_{\omega_{\gamma, \delta}}^P \rightarrow B$ is bounded. We observe that the conditions in (ii) imply that for every $\epsilon > 0$, there is an $\eta \in (0, 1)$, such that for all $z \in K = \{z \in D: |\varphi(z)| > \eta\}$ and $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ it follows that $M_k(z) < \epsilon$. From the fact $L_k < \infty$, for each $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ we obtain we have

$$M_k \leq \epsilon + \frac{L_k}{(1 - \eta^2)^{\frac{\gamma+2}{p}+k}} \left[\log \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log \eta} \right) \right]^{-\frac{\delta}{p}}.$$

Hence from Theorem 3.1 it follows that operator $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}: A_{\omega_{\gamma, \delta}}^P \rightarrow B$ is bounded.

Next we proof that the operator $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}: A_{\omega_{\gamma, \delta}}^P \rightarrow B$ is compact. For this purpose, by Lemma 1 we just need to prove that, if $\{f_i\}$ is a sequence in $A_{\omega_{\gamma, \delta}}^p$ such that $\sup_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \|f_i\|_{A_{\omega_{\gamma, \delta}}^p} \leq M$ and $f_i \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on any compact subset of D as $i \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_i\|_B = 0.$$

For such chosen ϵ and η , by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$(1 - |z|^2) |(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_i)'(z)| =$$

$$(1 - |z|^2) |\psi_1'(z) f_i(\varphi(z)) + (\psi_1(z) \varphi'(z) + \psi_2'(z)) f_i'(\varphi(z)) + \psi_2(z) \varphi'(z) f_i''(\varphi(z))| \leq$$

$$(1 - |z|^2) (|\psi_1'(z)| \|f_i(\varphi(z))\| + |\psi_1(z) \varphi'(z) + \psi_2'(z)| \|f_i'(\varphi(z))\| + |\psi_2(z) \varphi'(z)| \|f_i''(\varphi(z))\|) \leq$$

$$L_0 \sup_{z \in D} |f_i(z)| + (\sup_{z \in K} + \sup_{z \in D \setminus K}) (1 - |z|^2) |\psi_1(z) \varphi'(z) + \psi_2'(z)| \|f_i'(\varphi(z))\| +$$

$$(\sup_{z \in K} + \sup_{z \in D \setminus K}) (1 - |z|^2) |\varphi'(z)| \|\psi_2(z)\| \|f_i''(\varphi(z))\| \leq$$

$$2\epsilon + L_0 \sup_{z \in D} |f_i(z)| + L_1 \sup_{|z| \leq \eta} |f_i'(z)| +$$

$$L_2 \sup_{|z| \leq \eta} |f_i''(z)| \tag{16}$$

Since $f_i \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of D as $i \rightarrow \infty$ implies that for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$, $f_i^{(k)} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of D as $i \rightarrow \infty$, from (16) we get

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} (1 - |z|^2) |(T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_i)'(z)| = 0 \tag{17}$$

It is clear that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_i(0)| = 0 \tag{18}$$

From (17) and (18) we obtain

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi} f_i\|_B = 0 \tag{19}$$

Hence from (19) and Lemma 2.1, we obtain that $T_{\psi_1, \psi_2, \varphi}: A_{\omega_{\gamma, \delta}}^P \rightarrow B$ is compact. The proof is finished.

References:

[1] Colonna F, Li S. Weighted composition operators from the minimal Mobius invariant space into the Bloch space [J]. *Mediterr J Math*, 2013, 10: 395.

[2] Esmaeili K, Lindstrom M. Weighted composition operators between Zygmund type spaces and their essential norms [J]. *Integr Equat Oper Th*, 2013, 75: 473.

[3] Madigan K, Matheson A. Compact composition operators on the Bloch space [J]. *T Am Math Soc*, 1995, 347: 2679.

[4] Zhou F. Weighted composition operators from Bers-type spaces into Bergman-type spaces in the unit ball [J]. *J Sichuan Univ: Nat Sci Ed*(四川大学学报自然科学版), 2012, 49: 294.

[5] Zhang K, Zhou Z H. Equivalent condition for invertible weighted composition operators in the unit

- ball [J]. J Sichuan Univ: Nat Sci Ed(四川大学学报自然科学版), 2016, 53: 899.
- [6] Li S, Stevic S. Composition followed by differentiation between Bloch type spaces [J]. J Comput Anal Appl, 2007, 9: 195.
- [7] Li S, Stevic S. Products of composition and integral type operators from H^∞ to the Bloch space [J]. Complex Var Elliptic, 2008, 53: 463.
- [8] Hibscheiler R A, Portnoy N. Composition followed by differentiation between Bergman and Hardy spaces [J]. Rocky MT J Math, 2005, 35: 843.
- [9] Li S, Stevic S. Products of composition and differentiation operators from Zygmund spaces to Bloch spaces and Bers spaces [J]. Appl Math Comput, 2010, 217: 3144.
- [10] Ohno S. Products of composition and differentiation on Bloch spaces [J]. B Korean Math Soc, 2009, 46: 1135.
- [11] Stevic S. Norm and essential norm of composition followed by differentiation from α -Bloch spaces to H_μ^∞ [J]. Appl Math Comput, 2009, 207: 225.
- [12] Yang Y, Jiang Z J. Boundedness of products of differentiation and composition from weighted Bergman spaces to Zygmund spaces [J]. J Sichuan Univ: Nat Sci Ed(四川大学学报自然科学版), 2015, 52: 731.
- [13] Krantz S, Stevic S. On the iterated logarithmic Bloch space on the unit ball [J]. Nonlinear Anal-Theor, 2009, 71: 1772.
- [14] Stevic S. On an integral-type operator from logarithmic Bloch-type and mixed-norm spaces to Bloch-type spaces [J]. Nonlinear Anal-Theor, 2009, 71: 6323.
- [15] Stevic S. Products of integral-type operators and composition operators from the mixed norm space to Bloch-type spaces [J]. Siberian Math J+, 2009, 50: 726.
- [16] Stevic S. On an integral operator from the Zygmund space to the Bloch-type space on the unit ball [J]. Glasgow J Math, 2009, 51: 275.
- [17] Stevic S, Ueki S I. Integral-type operators acting between weighted-type spaces on the unit ball [J]. Appl Math Comput, 2009, 215: 2464.
- [18] Sharma A K. Products of composition multiplication and differentiation between Bergman and Bloch type spaces [J]. Turk J Math, 2011, 35: 275.
- [19] Jiang Z J. On a class of operators from weighted Bergman spaces to some spaces of analytic functions [J]. Taiwan J Math, 2011, 15: 2095.
- [20] Jiang Z J. On a product-type operator from weighted Bergman-Orlicz space to some weighted type spaces [J]. Appl Math Comput, 2015, 256: 37.
- [21] Stevic S, Sharma A K, Bhat A. Products of multiplication composition and differentiation operators on weighted Bergman spaces [J]. Appl Math Comput, 2011, 217: 8115.
- [22] Stevic S, Sharma A K, Bhat A. Essential norm of multiplication composition and differentiation operators on weighted Bergman spaces [J]. Appl Math Comput, 2011, 218 :2386.
- [23] Li S, Stevic S. Volterra type operators on Zygmund space [J] J Inequal Appl, 2007: 32124-10.
- [24] Jiang Z J. Product-type operators from logarithmic Bergman-type spaces to Zygmund-Orlicz spaces [J]. Mediterr J Math, 2016, 13: 4639.