

doi: 10.3969/j.issn.0490-6756.2019.03.001

# 具随机生成元的受控随机发展方程的 Pontryagin 型最大值原理

张宇

(四川大学数学学院, 成都 610064)

**摘要:** 本文研究了当控制区域是凸集时带有随机生成元的受控正向随机发展方程的 Pontryagin 型最大值原理. 运用 Malliavin 分析方法, 本文给出了当  $p \geq 2$  时控制系统温和解的存在唯一性, 运用转置方法获得了当  $1 < q \leq 2$  时对偶系统的适定性, 并运用凸变分方法推导了相应的最大值原理.

**关键词:** 随机发展方程; 随机生成元; 最大值原理

**中图分类号:** O231.4      **文献标识码:** A      **文章编号:** 0490-6756(2019)03-0377-10

## Pontryagin-type stochastic maximum principle of stochastic evolution equation with a random generator

ZHANG Yu

(School of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, China)

**Abstract:** In this paper, we establish a Pontryagin-type maximum principle for a control stochastic evolution equation with a random generator and a convex control domain. Given  $p \geq 2$ , the existence and uniqueness of mild solution to the control system are obtained by using the Malliavin calculus. To study the well-posedness of the adjoint system when  $1 < q \leq 2$ , the transposition method is used. The well-posedness results for these systems are established. The desired Pontryagin-type maximum principle is deduced by a standard convex perturbation technique.

**Keywords:** Stochastic evolution equation; Random generator; Maximum principle

### 1 Introduction

Let  $T > 0$ ,  $V$  be a real and separable Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ . Let  $H$  be another real and separable Hilbert space. Let  $(\Omega, F, F, P)$  be a complete filtered probability space with the filtration  $F = \{F_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$  being generated by  $B(\cdot)$ , a  $V$ -cylindrical Brownian motion on the time interval  $[0, T]$ . For any  $r \in [1, \infty)$ , denote by  $L_{F_t}^r(\Omega; H)$  the Banach space of all  $F_t$ -

measurable random variables  $\eta: \Omega \rightarrow H$  such that  $E|\eta|_H^r < \infty$  with the canonical norm. Write  $D_F([0, T]; L^r(\Omega; H))$  for the set of all  $H$ -valued  $F$ -adapted processes  $\varphi(\cdot): [0, T] \rightarrow L_{F_T}^r(\Omega; H)$  being càdlàg, i. e., right continuous with left limits. Clearly,  $D_F([0, T]; L^r(\Omega; H))$  is a Banach space with the norm

$$|\varphi(\cdot)|_{D_F([0, T]; L^r(\Omega; H))} = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (E|\varphi(t)|_H^r)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

Denote by  $C_F([0, T]; L^r(\Omega; H))$  the Banach space of all  $H$ -valued  $F$ -adapted processes  $\varphi(\cdot): [0, T]$

$\rightarrow L^r_{F_T}(\Omega; H)$  being continuous with the norm inherited from  $D_F([0, T]; L^r(\Omega; H))$ . Write  $L(X, Y)$  for the (Banach) space of all bounded linear operators from a Banach  $X$  to another Banach space  $Y$ . We simply write  $L(X, X)$  for  $L(X)$ . For any fixed  $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ , write  $L^p_F(0, T; L^q(\Omega; H))$  for the set of all  $H$ -valued  $F$ -adapted processes such that

$$\left[ \int_0^T (\mathbb{E} | X(t) |^p_H)^{\frac{1}{q}} dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$$

with the canonical norm. It is denoted by  $L^p_F(0, T; H)$  if  $p=q$ . For any  $t \in [0, T]$ , one can define the spaces  $D_F([t, T]; L^r(\Omega; H))$ ,  $C_F([t, T]; L^r(\Omega; H))$  and  $L^p_F(t, T; L^q(\Omega; H))$  in a similar

$$\begin{cases} dx(t) = A(t)x(t) + a(t, x(t), u(t))dt + b(t, x(t), u(t))dB(t), t \in [0, T], \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

with a cost functional

$$J(u(\cdot)) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T g(t, x(t), u(t))dt + h(x(T)) \right] \tag{2}$$

where  $x_0 \in L^p_{F_0}(\Omega; H)$  for a given  $p \geq 2$ .  $u(\cdot) \in U[0, T]$  is a control variable,  $x(\cdot)$  is the corresponding state variable,  $A(t, \omega)$  is a family of unbounded random operators on  $H$ ,  $a(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot): [0, T] \times H \times U \rightarrow H$  and  $b(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot): [0, T] \times H \times U \rightarrow L^0_2, g(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot): [0, T] \times H \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $h(\cdot): H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are given functions satisfying some conditions to be given later. We are concerned with the following optimal control problem;

Problem(OP) Find a control  $\bar{u}(\cdot) \in U[0, T]$  such that

$$J(\bar{u}(\cdot)) = \inf_{u(\cdot) \in U[0, T]} J(u(\cdot)) \tag{3}$$

$$\begin{cases} |a(t, x_1, u) - a(t, x_2, u)|_H + |b(t, x_1, u) - b(t, x_2, u)|_{L^0_2} \leq C|x_1 - x_2|_H, \\ |a(t, 0, u)|_H + |b(t, 0, u)|_{L^0_2} \leq C \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

(A<sub>2</sub>) Suppose that  $g(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot): [0, T] \times H \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $h(\cdot): H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are two functions satisfying

(i) For any  $(x, u) \in H \times U$ ,  $g(\cdot, x, u)$  is

$$\begin{cases} |g(t, x_1, u) - g(t, x_2, u)| + |h(x_1 - h(x_2))| \leq C|x_1 - x_2|_H, \\ |g(t, 0, u) + |h(0)|| \leq C \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

(A<sub>3</sub>) The maps  $a(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  and  $b(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ , the functional  $g(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  and  $h(\cdot)$  are

way. Denote by  $L_2(V; H)$  the space of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators from  $V$  into  $H$  with the inner product

$$\langle F, G \rangle_{L_2(V; H)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle Fe_j, Ge_j \rangle_H,$$

$\forall F, G \in L_2(V; H)$ . Write  $L^0_2 = L_2(V; H)$ . Let  $U$  be a convex subset of a real and separable Hilbert space  $H_1$ , for which the metric is endowed with the norm of  $H_1$ . Define

$$U[0, T] = \{u(\cdot): [0, T] \rightarrow U | u(\cdot) \text{ is } F\text{-adapted}\}.$$

In this paper, we consider the following controlled stochastic evolution equation (SEE):

Any  $\bar{u}(\cdot)$  satisfying (3) is called optimal control, the corresponding state process  $\bar{x}(\cdot)$  is called an optimal state, and  $(\bar{x}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot))$  is called an optimal pair.

Let us introduce the following conditions;

(A<sub>1</sub>) Suppose that  $a(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot): [0, T] \times H \times U \rightarrow H$ ,  $b(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot): [0, T] \times H \times U \rightarrow L^0_2$  are two maps satisfying

(i) For any  $(x, u) \in H \times U$ ,  $a(\cdot, x, u)$  and  $b(\cdot, x, u)$  are Lebesgue measurable,

(ii) For any  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times H$ ,  $a(t, x, \cdot)$  and  $b(t, x, \cdot)$  are continuous,

(iii) There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any  $(t, x_1, x_2, u) \in [0, T] \times H \times H \times U$ ,

Lebesgue measurable,

(ii) For any  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times H$ ,  $g(t, x, \cdot)$  is continuous, and for any  $(t, x_1, x_2, u) \in [0, T] \times H \times H \times U$ ,

$C^1$  with respect to  $x$  and  $u$ , and for any  $(t, x, u) \in [0, T] \times H \times U$ ,

$$\begin{cases} |a_x(t, x, u)|_{L(H)} + |b_x(t, x, u)|_{L(H)} + |g_x(t, x, u)|_H + |h_x(x)|_H \leq C, \\ |a_u(t, x, u)|_{L(H_1; H)} + |b_u(t, x, u)|_{L(H_1; H)} + |g_u(t, x, u)|_{H_1} \leq C \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

When  $\{A(t)\}_{t \in [0, T]}$  is a family of deterministic operators, the well-posedness of (1) in the sense of mild solution is well-understood<sup>[1]</sup>.

When  $\{A(t)\}_{t \in [0, T]}$  is a family of random operators, the corresponding random evolution system  $S(t, s)$  is also random and  $F$ -adapted with respect to  $t$ . In this case, the well-posedness of (1) is usually understood in the sense of weak solution<sup>[2]</sup>. Note that the usual mild solution based on Itô integral does not make sense. Indeed, the stochastic process  $S(t, s)b(s, x(s), u(s))$  may not  $F_s$ -measurable, and therefore the stochastic integral  $\int_0^t S(t, s)b(s, x(s), u(s))dB(s)$  is anticipative and the stochastic integral is interpreted as a Skorohod integral<sup>[3]</sup>. From Ref. [4], we know that the corresponding mild solution is not necessarily the weak solution of the SEEs, because a new complementary term appears. Hence, one introduces a new stochastic integral called “forward integral”. The “forward integral” is defined as the

$$\begin{cases} dy(t) = -A^*(t)y(t)dt - (a_1^*(t)y(t) + b_1^*(t)Y(t))dt + g_1(t)dt + Y(t)dB(t), t \in [0, T], \\ y(T) = -h_x(\bar{x}(T)) \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Here  $y(T) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_0}(\Omega; H)$  for  $q \in (1, 2]$ . The study of BSEEs is stimulated by the classical works (see Refs. [7~9]), and it plays an important role in stochastic controls (see Refs. [10~15]). When  $A$  is an unbounded operator and the filtration is natural, one can get the well-posedness of the BSEEs by using the Martingale Representation Theorem (see Ref. [9]). When the filtration is the general

$$\begin{cases} dz(s) = (A(s)z(s)v_1(s))ds + v_2(s)dB(s), s \in [t, T], \\ z(t) = \eta \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

where  $\eta \in L^p_{\mathcal{F}_t}(\Omega; H)$ ,  $v_1 \in L^1_F(t, T; L^p(\Omega; H))$ ,  $v_2 \in L^p_F(t, T; L^p(\Omega; L^0_2))$ . The solution to (8) is understood in the weak sense.

**Definition 2.1** Let  $p \geq 2$ ,  $1 < q \leq 2$ , and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . We call  $(y(\cdot), Y(\cdot)) \in D_F([0, T]; L^q$

limit of Riemann sums taking values of the process on the left point of each interval (see Ref. [5]) and one can show that the corresponding mild solution is the weak solution to (1). When  $\{A(t)\}_{t \in [0, T]}$  is a family of random operators, we can get the mild solution to (1) for  $p \geq 2$  (see Ref. [6] for the estimation for the stochastic integral).

In our paper, we will show the well-posedness of the corresponding BSEE, and the desired maximum principle, which is first order necessary conditions for the optimal control of the above Problem (OP).

## 2 Preliminaries

For  $\varphi = a, b, g$ , put  $\varphi_1(t) = \varphi_x(t, \bar{x}, \bar{u})$ ,  $\varphi_2(t) = \varphi_u(t, \bar{x}, \bar{u})$ .

First, we need the following backward stochastic evolution equation (BSEE):

filtration, we also get the well-posedness of BSEEs with random generators in the sense of mild solution is an unsolved problem at the moment, we adopt the method introduced in Ref. [16] to get the well-posedness of (7) with natural filtration.

Now, we define the transposition solution to (7). Consider the following SEE:

$(\Omega; H)) \times L^q_F(0, T; L^0_2)$  a transposition solution to (3) if for any  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $\eta \in L^p_{\mathcal{F}_t}(\Omega; H)$ ,  $v_1 \in L^1_F(t, T; L^p(\Omega; H))$ ,  $v_2 \in L^p_F(t, T; L^p(\Omega; L^0_2))$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} E \int_t^T \langle v_1(\tau), y(\tau) \rangle_H d\tau + \\ E \int_t^T \langle v_2(\tau), Y(\tau) \rangle_{L^0_2} d\tau = \end{aligned}$$

$$E \langle z(T), y_T \rangle_H - E \langle \eta, y(t) \rangle_H + E \int_t^T \langle z(\tau), f(\tau) \rangle_H d\tau \tag{9}$$

In this paper we have assumed that the filtration is natural. When  $\{S(t)\}_{t \in [0, T]}$  is a  $C_0$ -semigroup generated by an unbounded operator  $A$ , it is deterministic and therefore the well-posedness of the corresponding BSEE follows from the Martingale Representation Theorem. However, when  $\{A(s, \omega)\}$  is a family of random operators, the corresponding random evolution system  $\{S(t, s), 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$  is a family of random processes. Although  $F = \{F_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$  is a natural filtration generated by  $B$ , we cannot simply use the Martingale Representation Theorem obtain the mild solution to (7). This is why we use the transposition method (introduced in Ref. [16]), which avoids the use of the Martingale Representation Theorem.

In this paper, we will prove the well-posedness of the SEEs only for  $p \in [2, \infty)$ . We cannot get BDG-type inequality with respect to Skorohod integral for  $p \in (1, 2)$ , the main reason is that the Skorohod integral is not the martingale. So according to duality, we only get the well-posedness of linear BSEEs for  $1 < q \leq 2$ .

We begin with some knowledge on Malliavin Calculus (see Ref. [17]).

**Definition 2.2** An  $H$ -isonormal process on  $\Omega$  is a mapping  $W: H \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$  with the following two properties:

- (i) For all  $h \in H$ , the random variable  $W(h)$  is Gaussian;
- (ii) For all  $h_1, h_2 \in H$ , we have  $E(W(h_1)W(h_2)) = \langle h_1, h_2 \rangle_H$ .

**Definition 2.3** An  $L^2(0, T; H)$ -isonormal process is called an  $H$ -cylindrical Brownian motion on  $[0, T]$ .

If  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are two real and separable Hilbert spaces, we will denote its tensor product by  $V_1 \otimes V_2$  which is isometric to the space  $L_2(V_2; V_1)$  of Hilbert-Schmidt operators from  $V_2$  to  $V_1$ .

Let  $K$  be a real and separable Hilbert space and  $W(\cdot)$  be a  $V$ -cylindrical Brownian motion on

$[0, T]$ . For any  $p \geq 2$  we can introduce the Sobolev space  $D^{1,p}(K)$  of  $K$ -valued random variables in the following way. If  $F$  is a smooth  $K$ -valued random variable of the form

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(W(v_1), \dots, W(v_m)) b_i \tag{10}$$

where  $v_i \in L^2(0, T; V)$ ,  $b_i \in K$  and  $f_i \in C_b^\infty(\mathbf{R}^m)$  ( $f_i$  is an infinitely differentiable function such that  $f_i$  is bounded together with all its partial derivatives), then the derivative of the  $F$  is defined as

$$DF = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(W(v_1), \dots, W(v_m)) b_i \otimes v_j \tag{11}$$

So  $DF$  is a smooth random variable with values in  $L^2(0, T; L_0^2(V; K))$ . Then  $D^{1,p}(K)$  is the completion of the class of smooth  $K$ -valued random variables, denoted by  $S_K$ , with respect to the norm

$$\|F\|_{p,p}^p = E \|F\|_K^p + E \left( \int_0^T \|D_t F\|_{L_2(V;K)}^2 dt \right) \frac{p}{2} \tag{12}$$

The derivative operator  $D$  is closable from  $S_K \in L^p(\Omega; K)$  into the space  $L^p(\Omega; L^2(0, T; L_2(V; K)))$  for each  $p \geq 1$ .

For any  $n \geq 1$ , the Sobolev space  $D^{n,p}(K)$  is defined as the completion of  $S_K$  by the norm

$$\|F\|_{n,p}^p = \sum_{i=1}^n E \left( \int_{[0, T]^i} \|D_{t_1} \dots D_{t_i} F\|_{L_2^{(V^{\otimes i}; K)}}^2 dt_1 \dots dt_i \right) \frac{p}{2} + E \|F\|_K^p \tag{13}$$

Given two real and separable Hilbert spaces  $H$  and  $G$ , we can consider  $K = L_2(H; G)$ , and in this case, for any  $F$  in the space  $D^{1,p}(L_2(H; G))$ , we have

$$DF \in L^p(\Omega; L^2(0, T; L_2(H; L_2(V; G))))$$

since

$$L_2(V; L_2(H; G)) = L_2(H; L_2(V; G)).$$

**Definition 2.4** Let  $F \in L^2(\Omega; L(H; G))$ , we say that  $F$  belongs to the Sobolev space  $D^{1,2}(L_2(H; G))$  if the following conditions hold:

- (i) For any  $h \in H$ ,  $F(h)$  belongs to  $D^{1,2}(G)$ ;
- (ii) There exists an element  $DF \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L(H; L_2(V; G)))$ , such that for every  $h \in H$ , we have  $D_t(F(h)) = (D_t F)(h)$  for almost all

$(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$ .

We use the notation  $\Delta = \{(t, s) \in [0, T]^2 : t \geq s\}$ . Let us recall the notion of random evolution system<sup>[6]</sup>.

**Definition 2.5** A random evolution system is a family of random operators  $\{S(s, t), 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$  on  $H$  verifying the following properties:

(i)  $S(t, s)$  is  $F$ -adapted with respect to  $t$  for each  $t \geq s$ ;

(ii) For each  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $\{(S(t, s), (t, s) \in \Delta\}$  is an evolution system in the following sense:

(a)  $S(s, s) = I$  and  $S(t, r) = S(t, s)S(s, r)$  for any  $0 \leq r \leq s \leq t \leq T$ ,

(b) For any  $h \in H$ ,  $(t, s) \rightarrow S(t, s)h$  is continuous from  $\Delta$  into  $H$ .

Let us introduce the following hypothesis on a given random evolution system:

(H<sub>1</sub>) For each  $(t, s) \in \Delta$ ,  $S(t, s) \in D^{2,2}(L(H; H))$  and  $\int_0^t |S(t, s)|_{L^2, p}^2 ds < \infty$  for all  $p \geq 2$ ;

(H<sub>2</sub>) There is a version of  $D_r S(t, s)$  such that for all  $\omega \in \Omega$  and  $h \in H$ , the limit

$$D_s^- S(t, s)(h) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} D_s S(t, s - \varepsilon)(h) \quad (14)$$

exists in  $L^2$  and  $D_s^- S(t, s)$  belongs to  $D^{1,2}(L(H; L^2))$ ;

(H<sub>3</sub>) There is a constant  $M > 0$  such that the following estimates hold for all  $t \geq s \geq r$ :

$$(H_{3a}) |S(t, s)|_{L(H; H)} \leq M,$$

$$(H_{3b}) |D_s S(t, r)|_{L(H; L^2_0)} \leq M,$$

$$(H_{3c}) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |D_r (D_s^- S(t, s)) e_i|_{L(H; L^2_0)}^2 \leq M^2.$$

**Definition 2.6** We denote by  $\delta_H$  the adjoint of the derivative operator  $D$  acting on  $D^{1,2}(H)$ . That is, the domain of  $\delta_H$  is the space of processes  $u$  in  $L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L^2_0)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |E \int_0^T \langle D_t F, u_t \rangle_{L^2_0} dt| &\leq C \|F\|_{L^2(\Omega; H)}, \\ \forall F &\in S_H \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E \int_0^T \langle D_t F, u_t \rangle_{L^2_0} dt &= E \langle F, \delta_H(u) \rangle_H, \\ \forall F &\in D^{1,2}(H) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The operator  $\delta_H$  is called the  $H$ -Skorohod integral, write  $\delta_H(u) = \int_0^T u(s) dB(s)$ .

**Definition 2.7** Let  $Y: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow L^2_0$  be a

measurable process such that  $Y(v) \in L^1(0, T; H)$  a. s. for each  $v \in V$ . We say that  $Y$  belongs to  $\text{Dom} \delta^-$  if

$$\begin{aligned} Y^n := n \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Y(s)(e_i) (B((s + \frac{1}{n}) \wedge T)(e_i) - B(s)(e_i)) ds \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

converges in probability as  $n$  tends to infinity. The limit of the sequence  $\{Y^n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is denoted by  $\int_0^T Y(s) dB(s)^-$  and is called the forward integrals of  $Y$  with respect to  $B$ .

From Ref. [6], the relationship between Skorohod and forward integrals are as follows.

**Lemma 2.8** Fix  $p \geq 2$ . Let  $\Phi = \{\Phi(t), t \in [0, T]\}$  be a  $L^2_0$ -valued adapted process such that  $E \int_0^T |\Phi(s)|_{L^2_0}^p ds < \infty$ . Let  $S(t, s)$  be a random evolution system satisfying the hypothesis (H<sub>1</sub>), (H<sub>2</sub>) and (H<sub>3</sub>). Then for each  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $\{S(t, s) \Phi(s) I_{[0, s]}(s), s \in [0, T]\}$  belongs to  $\text{Dom} \delta^-$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t S(t, r) \Phi(r) dB(r)^- &= \int_0^t S(t, r) dB(r) + \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (D_r^- S(t, r))(e_i) \Phi(e_i) dr \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Next, we need the following result.

**Lemma 2.9**<sup>[13]</sup> Let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space. Then, for any  $\xi \in L^2_{F_T}(\Omega; H)$ ,  $r \geq 1$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ , it holds that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow t^+} |E(\xi | F_s) - E(\xi | F_t)|_{L^2_{F_T}(\Omega; H)} = 0 \quad (18)$$

*Remark* From Ref. [13], we not only get the right continuity of the conditional expectation with respect to the filtration, but also its left limit.

Let us recall the Itô formulas about the anticipating  $H$ -valued processes<sup>[6]</sup>. We use the notation  $L^{k,p}(H) = L^p(0, T; D^{k,p}(H))$  for any  $k, p \geq 1$ .

**Lemma 2.10** Let  $F \in C^2(H)$  and  $X = \{X(t), t \in [0, T]\}$  be the stochastic process defined by

$$X(t) = X_0 + \int_0^t \varphi(s) ds + \int_0^t \Phi(s) dB(s) \quad (19)$$

where we have the following conditions:

(i)  $X_0 \in D^{1,2}(H)$ ;

(ii)  $\varphi \in L^{1,2}(H)$ ;

(iii)  $\Phi \in L^{2,4}(L^0_2)$ ,

then

$$\begin{aligned}
F(X(t)) = & F(X_0) + \int_0^t \langle F'(X(s)), \varphi(s) \rangle_H ds + \\
& \int_0^t F'(X(s)) dB(s) + \\
& \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \langle F''(X(s)) (\nabla X)_s, \Phi(s) \rangle_{L^0_2} ds \quad (20)
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla X)_t = & 2D_t X_0 + 2 \int_0^t D_t \varphi(s) ds + \\
& 2 \int_0^t D_t \Phi(s) dB(s) + \Phi(t) \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

### 3 Well-posedness of the vector-valued SEEs with random generators

In this section, we present the well-posedness result for the semi-linear SEEs.

**Condition 3.1** Suppose that  $F: [0, T] \times \Omega \times$

$$\begin{cases} dX(t) = [A(t)X(t) + F(t, X(t))]dt + F(t, X(t))dB(t), t \in [0, T], \\ X(0) = X_0 \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

**Definition 3.2** An adapted and continuous  $H$ -valued process  $X = \{X(t), t \in [0, T]\}$  is called a mild solution to (23), if for any  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
X(t) = & S(t, 0)X_0 + \int_0^t S(t, s)F(s, X(s))ds + \\
& \int_0^t S(t, s) \bar{F}(s, X(s))dB(s)^-, P - a. s \quad (24)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\int_0^t (\cdot) dB(s)^-$  denotes the forward integral.

We recall the following known result (see Ref. [11]).

**Lemma 3.3** Fix  $p \geq 2$ . Let  $\Phi = \{\Phi(t), t \in [0, T]\}$  be a  $L^0_2$ -valued adapted process such that  $E \int_0^T |\Phi(s)|_{L^0_2}^p ds < \infty$ . Let  $S(t, s)$  be a random evolution system satisfying the hypotheses  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_2)$  and  $(H_3)$ . Then for each  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $\{S(t, s)\Phi(s)I_{[0,t]}(s), s \in [0, T]\}$  belongs to  $\text{Dom} \delta^-$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{t \in [0, T]} E \left| \int_0^t S(t, r)\Phi(r)dB(r)^- \right|_H \leq \\
CE \int_0^T |\Phi(s)|_{L^0_2}^p ds \quad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $C > 0$ , which depends on  $T$  and the random

$H \rightarrow H$  and  $\bar{F}: [0, T] \times \Omega \times H \rightarrow L^0_2$  are two given functions satisfying

(i) Both  $F(\cdot, x)$  and  $\bar{F}(\cdot, x)$  are  $F$ -adapted for any  $x \in H$ ;

(ii) There exist a  $C \geq 0$  and for any  $x, y \in H$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
|F(t, x) - F(t, y)|_H \leq C|x - y|_H, \\
|\bar{F}(t, x) - \bar{F}(t, y)|_{L^0_2} \leq C|x - y|_H \quad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

$\{A(s, \omega), s \in [0, T], \omega \in \Omega\}$  is a family of unbounded random operators on  $H$  such that  $H_0 \in \text{Dom } A^*(s)$  where  $H_0$  is a dense subset of  $H$ . Then exists a random evolution system  $S(t, s)$  satisfying the hypotheses  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_2)$  and  $(H_3)$  such that

$$S^*(t, s)A^*(t)y = \frac{d}{dt}S^*(t, s)y$$

for all  $y \in H_0$ .

Consider the following semi-linear SEEs:

evolution system  $S(t, s)$ .

The main result in this section is as follows.

**Theorem 3.4** Fix  $p \geq 2$ . Let  $S(t, s)$  be a random evolution system satisfying  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_2)$  and  $(H_3)$ , Condition 1 hold,  $X_0 \in L^p_p(\Omega; H)$ ,  $F(\cdot, 0) \in L^p_F(0, T; L^p(\Omega; H))$  and  $\bar{F}(\cdot, 0) \in L^p_F(0, T; L^0_2)$ . Then the equation (23) admits an unique mild solution, and  $X(\cdot) \in C_F([0, T]; L^p(\Omega; H))$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
|X(\cdot)|_{C_F([0, T]; L^p(\Omega; H))} \leq \\
C(|X_0|_{L^p_p(\Omega; H)} + |F(\cdot, 0)|_{L^p_F(0, T; L^p(\Omega; H))} + \\
|\bar{F}(\cdot, 0)|_{L^p_F(0, T; L^0_2)}) \quad (26)
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof** The proof will be divided into two steps.

**Step 1.** We claim that the equation (23) exists a mild solution when  $F(t, X(t)) = f(t) \in L^p_F(0, T; L^p(\Omega; H))$ ,  $\bar{F}(t, X(t)) = \tilde{f}(t) \in L^p_F(0, T; L^0_2)$ . Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned}
X(t) = & S(t, 0)X_0 + \int_0^t S(t, s)f(s)ds + \\
& \int_0^t S(t, s)\tilde{f}(s)dB(s)^- \quad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

is a mild solution to the equation (23). Now, we prove that  $X(\cdot) \in C_F([0, T]; L^p(\Omega; H))$ . Indeed, some computations can yield that

$$\begin{aligned} |X(\cdot)|_{L_F^\infty([0, T]; L^p(\Omega; H))} &\leq C(|X_0|_{L_{F_0}^p(\Omega; H)} + \\ &|f(\cdot)|_{L_F^1(0, T; L^p(\Omega; H))} + \\ &|\tilde{F}(\cdot)|_{L_F^1(0, T; L_2^0)}) \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Since  $S(t, 0)X_0 + \int_0^t S(t, s)f(s) ds$  is  $H$ -valued continuous with respect to  $t$ , and it suffices to

$$\begin{cases} dX(t) = [A(t)X(t) + F(t, X(t))]dt + F(t, X(t))dB(t), t \in [0, T_1], \\ X(0) = X_0 \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

Let  $J: C_F([0, T]; L^p(\Omega; H)) \rightarrow C_F([0, T]; L^p(\Omega; H))$ , and  $J(Y) = X$ . By some lengthy and technique computations, one can show that  $J$  is a contractive map when  $T_1$  is small enough. By means of the Banach fixed point theorem, there exists a unique  $X(\cdot) \in C_F([0, T_1]; L^p(\Omega; H))$  such that  $J(X) = X$ . So we can see that  $X(\cdot)$  is a mild solution to the equation to (23). The uniqueness of such solution to (23), and (26) holds when  $T = T_1$ .

Repeating the above argument, we obtain a mild solution to the equation (23). The uniqueness of such solution to (23) and (26) are obvious, The poof of this theorem is complete.

### 4 Well-posedness of the vector-valued BSEEs with random generators

In this section, we present the well-posedness result for the BSEEs.

Before proving the well-posedness of the BSEEs, we recall the following known result (see Ref. [10]).

**Lemma 4.1** Assume that  $r \in (1, +\infty)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} r' &= \frac{r}{r-1}, \alpha' \in [1, +\infty), \\ \alpha' &= \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} & \text{if } \alpha \in (1, +\infty), \\ \infty & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$f_1 \in L_F^r(0, T; L^\alpha(\Omega; H))$ ,  $f_2 \in L_F^{\alpha'}(0, T; L^{\alpha'}(\Omega; H))$ . Then there exists a monotonic sequence  $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of positive numbers such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n = 0$ , and for almost all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

prove the continuity of  $\int_0^t S(t, s)\tilde{f}(s)dB(s)^-$  in  $L_{F_T}^p(\Omega; H)$ . We can obtain that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} |X(t) - X(t_0)|_{L_{F_T}^p(\Omega; H)} = 0, t_0 \in [0, T] \quad (29)$$

Hence, there have  $X(\cdot) \in C_F([0, T]; L^p(\Omega; H))$ .

**Step 2.** Choose  $T_1 \in (0, T]$ . For any  $Y(\cdot) \in C_F([0, T]; L^p(\Omega; H))$ , we consider the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_n} \int_t^{t+h_n} E \langle f_1(s), f_2(s) \rangle_H ds = \\ E \langle f_1(s), f_2(s) \rangle_H \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Let us consider the following linear BSEE. The equation is as follows:

**Theorem 4.2** Assume  $q \in (1, 2]$ . Then the equation (8) admits one and only one transposition solution  $(y(\cdot), Y(\cdot)) \in D_F([0, T]; L^q(\Omega; H)) \times L_F^q(0, T; L_2^0)$ . Further,

$$\begin{aligned} |(y(\cdot), Y(\cdot))|_{D_F([0, T]; L^q(\Omega; H)) \times L_F^q(0, T; L_2^0)} \leq \\ C(|y_T|_{L_{F_T}^q(\Omega; H)} + |f(\cdot)|_{L_F^1(0, T; L^q(\Omega; H))}) \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

**Proof** We borrow some ideas from Ref. [8]. The proof is divided into four steps.

**Step 1.** For any  $t \in [0, T]$ , we define a linear functional  $l$  on the Banach space  $L_F^1(t, T; L^p(\Omega; H)) \times L_F^1(t, T; L_2^0) \times L_{F_t}^p(\Omega; H)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(v_1(\cdot), v_2(\cdot), \eta) = E \langle z(T), y_T \rangle_H + \\ E \int_t^T \langle z(s), f(s) \rangle_H ds, \end{aligned}$$

where  $z(\cdot) \in C_F([t, T]; L^p(\Omega; H))$  is a mild solution to (9). Then, some lengthy computations yield that  $\ell$  is a bounded linear functional on  $L_F^1(t, T; L^p(\Omega; H)) \times L_F^1(t, T; L_2^0) \times L_{F_t}^p(\Omega; H)$ . According to a representation theorem in Ref. [7], there exist  $(y^t(\cdot), Y^t(\cdot), \xi^t) \in L_F^\infty(0, T; L^q(\Omega; H)) \times L_F^q(0, T; L_2^0) \times L_{F_t}^q(\Omega; H)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} E \langle z(T), y_T \rangle_H + E \int_t^T \langle z(s), f(s) \rangle_H ds = \\ E \int_t^T \langle v_1(\tau), y^t(\tau) \rangle_H d\tau + \\ E \int_t^T \langle v_2(\tau), Y^t(\tau) \rangle_{L_2^0} d\tau + \\ E \langle \eta, \xi^t \rangle_H \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Further, there is a positive constant  $C = C(T)$ , independent of  $t$ , such that

$$|(y^t(\cdot), Y^t(\cdot), \xi^t)|_{L^q_F(0,T;L^q(\Omega;H)) \times L^q_F(0,T;L^0_2) \times L^q_{F_t}(\Omega;H)} \leq C(|y_T|_{L^q_T(\Omega;H)} + |f(\cdot)|_{L^q_F(0,T;L^q(\Omega;H))}), t \in [0, T] \tag{34}$$

**Step 2.** According to the Step 1,  $(y^t(\cdot), Y^t(\cdot))$  may depend on  $t$ . We further show that  $(y^t(\cdot), Y^t(\cdot))$  is independent on  $t$  by some lengthy computations, that is, for any  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  with  $0 \leq t_2 \leq t_1 \leq T$ , and a. e.  $(t, \omega) \in [t_1, T] \times \Omega$ , it holds that

$$(y^{t_1}(\cdot), Y^{t_1}(\cdot)) = (y^{t_2}(\cdot), Y^{t_2}(\cdot)).$$

**Step 3.** In this step, we need to prove that  $\xi^t$  is càdlàg with respect to  $t$  in  $L^q_{F_T}(\Omega;H)$ . We can show that

$$\xi^t = E(S^*(T, t)y_T + \int_t^T S^*(s, t)f(s)ds | F_t) \tag{35}$$

Firstly, we start to prove the right continuity of (35) with respect to  $t$ . For any fixed  $t \in [0, T]$  with  $t \leq s$ . According to Lemma 2.7, we can get that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow t^+} |\xi^{t_1} - \xi^{t_2}|_{L^q_{F_T}(\Omega;H)} = 0 \tag{36}$$

Similarly, we can get that  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\forall t_1, t_2 \in (t - \delta, t)$ ,

$$|\xi^{t_1} - \xi^{t_2}|_{L^q_{F_T}(\Omega;H)} \leq \epsilon \tag{37}$$

Hence,  $\xi^t$  is càdlàg with respect to  $t$  in  $L^q_{F_T}(\Omega;H)$ .

**Step 4.** Let  $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq T$ , we have

$$E \langle \gamma, \xi^{t_2} \rangle_H = E \langle S(T, t_2)\gamma, y_T \rangle_H + E \int_{t_2}^T \langle S(\tau, t_2)\gamma, f(\tau) \rangle_H d\tau + \frac{1}{t_1 - t_2} E \int_{t_2}^T \langle \chi_{[t_2, t_1]}(\tau)\gamma, y(\tau) \rangle_H d\tau -$$

$$\begin{cases} dx_2(t) = [A(t)x_2(t) + a_1(t)x_2(t) + a_2(t)\delta u(t)]dt + [b_1(t)x_2(t) + b_2(t)\delta u(t)]dB(t), t \in U[0, T], \\ x_2(0) = 0 \end{cases} \tag{41}$$

In order to get the pointwise-type maximum principle, similar to Ref. [8], we need the following result.

**Lemma 5.1** Assume that  $H_1$  is a Hilbert space,  $p \geq 2$  and  $1 < q \leq 2$  meet  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , and  $U$  is a nonempty subset of  $H_1$ . If  $F(\cdot) \in L^p_F(t, T; H_1)$ , and  $\bar{u}(\cdot) \in U[0, T]$  such that

$$E \int_0^T \langle F(\cdot), u(t, \cdot) - \bar{u}(t, \cdot) \rangle_{H_1} dt \leq 0 \tag{42}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{t_1 - t_2} E \langle \int_{t_2}^T S(T, \tau)\chi_{[t_2, t_1]}(\tau)\gamma d\tau, y_T \rangle_H - \\ & \frac{1}{t_1 - t_2} E \int_{t_2}^T \langle \int_{t_2}^\tau S(\tau, r)\chi_{[t_2, t_1]}(r)\gamma dr, f(\tau) \rangle_H d\tau \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Then,  $\xi^{t_2} = y(t_2)$ , P-a. s. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} E \langle z(T), y_T \rangle_H + E \int_t^T \langle z(s), f(s) \rangle_H ds = \\ E \int_t^T \langle v_1(\tau), y(\tau) \rangle_H d\tau + \\ E \int_t^T \langle v_2(\tau), Y(\tau) \rangle_{L^0_2} d\tau + \\ E \langle \eta, y(t) \rangle_H \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Finally, we get that  $(y(\cdot), Y(\cdot))$  is a transposition solution to (8), and (32) holds. The proof of this theorem is complete.

### 5 Necessary condition of optimal controls for the case of convex control domain

In this section, we shall give a necessary condition for optimal control problems. The main methods come from the Ref. [8].

For the optimal pair  $(\bar{x}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot))$ , fix a  $u(\cdot) \in U[0, T]$  with

$$\delta u(\cdot) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} u(\cdot) - \bar{u}(\cdot) \in L^p_F(0, T; H_1) \tag{40}$$

Consider the following equation:

holds for any  $u(\cdot) \in U[0, T]$  satisfying (40), then for any point  $u \in U$ , the following pointwise inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F(t, \omega), u - \bar{u}(t, \omega) \rangle_{H_1} \leq 0, \\ \text{a. e. } (t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

**Theorem 5.2** Suppose that  $p \geq 2$ ,  $x_0 \in L^p_0(\Omega; H)$ , and  $U$  is convex. Let the assumptions  $(A_1)$ ,  $(A_2)$  and  $(A_3)$  hold. If  $(\bar{x}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot))$  is an optimal pair, then



$$\begin{aligned} &\langle a_u(t, \bar{x}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot)) * y(t) + \\ &b_u(t, \bar{x}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot)) * Y(t) - \\ &g_u(t, \bar{x}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot)), u - \bar{u}(t) \rangle_{H_1} \leq 0, \\ &\text{a. e. } (t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega, \forall u \in U \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

**Proof** We use the convex perturbation technique and divide the proof into two steps.

**Step 1.** For  $u(\cdot)$  given by (40), since  $U$  is convex, we see that  $u^\varepsilon(\cdot) = (1 - \varepsilon)\bar{u}(\cdot) + \varepsilon u$

$$\begin{cases} dx_3^\varepsilon(t) = [A(t)x_3^\varepsilon(t) + a_1^2(t)x_3^\varepsilon(t) + (a_1^2(t) - a_1(t))x_2(t) + a_2^2(t) - a_2(t)\delta u(t)]dt + \\ \quad [b_1^2(t)x_3^\varepsilon(t) + (b_1^2(t) - b_1(t))x_2(t) + (b_2^2(t) - b_2(t))\delta u(t)]dB(t), t \in [0, T], \\ x_3^\varepsilon(0) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (45)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_1^\varepsilon(t) = \int_0^1 \varphi_x(t, \bar{x}(t) + \sigma\varepsilon x_1^\varepsilon(t), u^\varepsilon(t))d\sigma, \\ \varphi_2^\varepsilon(t) = \int_0^1 \varphi_u(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{u} + \sigma\varepsilon\delta u(t))d\sigma \end{cases} \quad (46)$$

Further,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} |x_1^\varepsilon(\cdot) - x_2(\cdot)|_{L^2(0, T; L^p(\Omega; H))} = 0 \quad (47)$$

**Step 2.** Since  $(\bar{x}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot))$  is the optimal pair of Problem (OP), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{J(u^\varepsilon(\cdot)) - J(\bar{u}(\cdot))}{\varepsilon} = \\ E \int_0^T (\langle g_1(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{u}(t)), x_2(t) \rangle_H + \\ \langle g_2(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{u}(t)), \delta u(t) \rangle_{H_1}) dt + \\ E \langle h_x(\bar{x}(T)), x_2(T) \rangle_H \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Since  $(y(\cdot), Y(\cdot))$  is a transposition solution to (7), for any  $u(\cdot) \in U[0, T]$  satisfying (40), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} E \int_0^T \langle a_2^*(t)y(t) + b_2^*(t)Y(t) - \\ g_2(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{u}(t)), u(t) - \bar{u}(t) \rangle_{H_1} dt \leq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle a_2^*(t)y(t) + b_2^*(t)Y(t) - \\ &g_2(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{u}(t)), \\ &u - \bar{u}(t) \rangle_{H_1} \leq 0, \\ &(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \\ &\times \Omega, \forall u \in U \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

The proof is complete.

**References:**

[1] Bismut J M. Analyse convexe et probabilités [D]. Paris: Faculté des Sciences de Paris, 1973.

$(\cdot) \in U[0, T]$ .

Let  $x^\varepsilon(\cdot)$  be the state of (1) with the control being  $u^\varepsilon(\cdot)$ , and

$$x_1^\varepsilon(\cdot) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(x^\varepsilon(\cdot) - \bar{x}(\cdot)).$$

Put  $x_3^\varepsilon(\cdot) = x_1^\varepsilon(\cdot) - x_2(\cdot)$ . Then,  $x_3^\varepsilon(\cdot)$  solves the following equation:

[2] Bismut J M. An introductory approach to duality in optimal stochastic control [J]. SIAM Rev, 1978, 20: 62.

[3] Du K, Meng Q. A maximum principle for optimal control of stochastic evolution equations [J]. SIAM J Control Optim, 2013, 51: 4343.

[4] Fuhrman M, Hu Y, Tessitore G. Stochastic maximum principle for optimal control of SPDEs [J]. Appl Math Optim, 2013, 68: 181.

[5] Hu Y, Peng S. Maximum principle for semilinear stochastic evolution control systems [J]. South Stoch Rep, 1990, 33: 159.

[6] León J A. On equivalence of solution to stochastic differential equation with anticipating evolution system [J] J Stochastic Anal Appl, 1990, 8: 363.

[7] Lü Q, Yong J, Zhang X. Representation of Itô integrals by Lebesgue \ Bochner integrals [J]. J Eur Math Soc, 2012, 14: 1795.

[8] Lü Q, Zhang X. General Pontryagin-type stochastic maximum principle and backward stochastic evolution equations in infinite dimensions [M]. New York: Springer-Verlag, 2014.

[9] Lü Q, Zhang X. Transposition method for backward stochastic evolution equations revisited, and its application [J]. Math Control Relat Fields, 2015, 5: 529.

[10] Lü Q, Zhang X. Well-posedness of backward stochastic differential equations with general filtration [J]. J Diff Equat, 2013, 5: 3200.

[11] León J A, Nualart D. Stochastic evolution equations with random generators [J]. Ann Probab, 1998, 26: 149.

[12] 17Nualart D. The Malliavin calculus and related topics [M]. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2006.

[13] Pardoux E. Stochastic partial differential equations

- and filtering of diffusion processes [J]. *Stochastics*, 1979, 3: 1277.
- [14] Pardoux E, Peng S. Adapted solution of backward stochastic equation [J]. *System Control Lett*, 1990, 14: 55.
- [15] Peng S. A general stochastic maximum principle for optimal control problems [J]. *SIAM J Control Optim*, 1990, 28: 966.
- [16] Prato D G, Zabczyk J. *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions* [M]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [17] Ren Y. Characterization of optimal feedback for SLQ with general filtration [J]. *J Sichuan Univ: Nat Sci Ed (四川大学学报: 自然科学版)*, 2018, 55: 1.
- [18] Russo F, Vallois P. Forward, backward and symmetric stochastic integration [J]. *Probab Theory Rel Fields*, 1993, 97: 403.
- [19] Skorohod A V. On a generalization of a stochastic integral [J]. *Theor Probab Appl*, 1975, 20: 219.
- [20] Yong J, Zhou X Y. *Stochastic control: Hamilton system and HJB equations* [M]. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1990.

**引用本文格式:**

中文: 张宇. 具随机生成元的受控随机发展方程的 Pontryagin 型最大值原理 [J]. *四川大学学报: 自然科学版*, 2019, 56: 377.

英文: Zhang Y. Pontryagin-type stochastic maximum principle of stochastic evolution equation with a random generator [J]. *J Sichuan Univ: Nat Sci Ed*, 2019, 56: 377.