

含快变时滞的格 FitzHugh-Nagumo 系统的拉回吸引子

王学敏

(西南交通大学数学学院, 成都 610031)

摘要: 本文研究具有快时滞影响的格 FitzHugh-Nagumo 方程的动力学行为, 证明了拉回吸引子的存在和唯一性. 一般来说, 研究时滞方程吸引子要求时滞项的导数小于 1 (慢时滞), 本文则使用差分不等式技术消除了这个约束. 因而本文的方法可被用于处理具有快变延迟的方程.

关键词: 全局吸引子; 格; FitzHugh-Nagumo 系统; 快变时滞

中图分类号: O175.29 **文献标识码:** A **DOI:** 10.19907/j.0490-6756.2021.041002

Pullback attractors for lattice FitzHugh-Nagumo systems with fast-varying delays

WANG Xue-Min

(School of Mathematics, Southwest Jiaotong University, Chengdu 610031, China)

Abstract: We investigate the dynamical behavior of lattice FitzHugh-Nagumo equations with fast-varying delays and prove the existence and uniqueness of pullback attractor for the equations. Generally, studying the attractors of time-varying delay equations require that the derivative of the delay term is less than 1 (slow-varying delay). In this paper, by using some differential inequality techniques, we remove this constraint. Thus our method can be used to deal with equations with fast-varying delays.

Keywords: Global attractor; Lattice; FitzHugh-Nagumo system; Fast-varying delay

(2010 MSC 35B40; 35B41; 37L30)

1 Introduction

Lattice differential equations have many applications where the spatial structure has a discrete character. Wang *et al.*^[1] used the idea of ‘tail ends’ estimates on solutions and obtained a result concerning the existence of a global attractor for a class of reaction-diffusion lattice systems. Later on, their results were extended to various problems, see for instance, Refs. [2-11]. The

FitzHugh-Nagumo system arises as a model describing the signal transmission across axons in neurobiology^[12]. The asymptotic behavior of a FitzHugh-Nagumo system was investigated in Refs. [13-15]. The results were extended to stochastic, see for instance Refs. [16-17]. Since time-delays are frequently encountered in many practical systems, which may induce instability, oscillation and poor performance of systems, delay lattice systems then arise naturally while these

收稿日期: 2020-11-19

基金项目: 国家自然科学基金(11871049); 四川省科技项目(2019YJ0215)

作者简介: 王学敏(1995-), 女, 河北张家口人, 硕士研究生, 主要研究方向为微分方程与动力系统. E-mail: 18733528372@163.com

delays are taken into account. Recently, attractors of delay lattice systems have been considered in Refs. [18-24]. The existing results of studying attractors for time-varying delay equations require that the derivative of the delay term be less than 1 (slow-varying delay). By using differential inequality technique, our results remove the constraints on the delay derivative. So we can deal with the lattice FitzHugh-Nagumo systems with fast-varying delays (without any constraints on the delay derivative).

Motivated by the discussions above, we study the dynamical behavior of the following lattice FitzHugh-Nagumo system with fast-varying delays; for $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$ and $i \in \mathbf{Z}$,

$$\frac{du_i}{dt} + \nu(2u_i - u_{i+1} - u_{i-1}) + \lambda u_i = h_i(u_i(t - \rho_0(t))) - \alpha v_i + f_i(t), \quad t > \tau \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{dv_i}{dt} = -\delta v_i + \beta u_i + g_i(t), \quad t > \tau \quad (2)$$

with the initial condition

$$u_i(\tau + s) = \varphi_i(s), \quad v_i(\tau) = \varphi_i, \quad s \in [-\rho, 0] \quad (3)$$

where u_i, v_i is the unknown value function, $\nu, \lambda, \alpha, \delta, \beta, \rho$ are positive real constants, $\rho_0 \in C(\mathbf{R}, [0, \rho])$ is an adequate given delay function $f(t) = (f_i(t))_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbf{R}, l^2)$ and $g(t) = (g_i(t))_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbf{R}, l^2)$ (l^2 is defined later) are given time dependent sequences, h_i is a nonlinear function satisfying certain conditions, $\varphi_i \in C(\mathbf{R}, [0, \rho])$ and $\varphi_i \in \mathbf{R}$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove that the lattice system (1)-(3) generates a non-autonomous dynamical system. In Section 3, we derive a priori estimates on the solutions to (1)-(3). In Section 4, we proof the existence and uniqueness of pull-back attractor for the lattice systems.

2 Priori estimates

In this section, we establish the existence of a continuous non-autonomous dynamical system generated by System (1)-(3) and derive some priori estimates which will be needed for proofing the existence of a global attractor. We formulate

System (1)-(3) as an abstract ordinary differential equation. To this end, we denote by l^2 the Hilbert space defined by

$$l^2 = \{u = (u_i)_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} : \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} u_i^2 < +\infty\}$$

with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and inner product (\cdot, \cdot) given by $\|u\| = (\sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} u_i^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $(u, v) = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} u_i v_i$ for each $u = (u_i)_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \in l^2$, $v = (v_i)_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \in l^2$. Define the linear operators $A, B, B^* : l^2 \rightarrow l^2$ as

$$(Bu)_i = u_{i+1} - u_i,$$

$$(B^*u)_i = u_{i-1} - u_i,$$

$$(Au)_i = -u_{i-1} + 2u_i - u_{i+1},$$

for each $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then

$$A = BB^* = B^*B,$$

$$(B^*u, v) = (u, Bv), \quad u, v \in l^2.$$

Denote

$$\varphi(s) = \{\varphi_i(s)\}_{i \in \mathbf{Z}}, \quad s \in [-\rho, 0]$$

and $\varphi = \{\varphi_i\}_{i \in \mathbf{Z}}$. Denote by u_i the function defined on $[-\rho, 0]$ according to the relation

$$u_i(s) = (u_i(s))_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} = (u_i(t+s))_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} =$$

$$u(t+s), \quad s \in [-\rho, 0],$$

and let $C_\rho = C([- \rho, 0], l^2)$ with the maximum norm

$$\|\psi\|_\rho = \sup_{-\rho \leq s \leq 0} \|\psi(s)\|, \quad \psi \in C_\rho.$$

Then System(1)-(3) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{du}{dt} + \nu Au + \lambda u = h(u(t - \rho_0(t))) - \alpha v + f(t), \quad t > \tau \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = -\delta v + \beta u + g(t), \quad t > \tau \quad (5)$$

with the initial condition

$$u(\tau + s) = \varphi(s), \quad v(\tau) = \varphi, \quad s \in [-\rho, 0] \quad (6)$$

where $u = (u_i)_{i \in \mathbf{Z}}$,

$$h(u(t - \rho_0(t))) = h_i(u_i(t - \rho_0(t)))_{i \in \mathbf{Z}},$$

$$f(t) = (f_i(t))_{i \in \mathbf{Z}}, \quad g(t) = (g_i(t))_{i \in \mathbf{Z}},$$

$\varphi = (\varphi_i)_{i \in \mathbf{Z}}$ and $\varphi = (\varphi_i)_{i \in \mathbf{Z}}$. We make the following assumptions on $h_i, i \in \mathbf{Z}$. For each $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, h_i is a nonlinear function satisfying the following assumption:

(H) $h_i(0) = 0$ and h_i is Lipschitz continuous uniformly with respect to i , that is, there is a positive constant L , independent of i , such that for all $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$|h_i(s_1) - h_i(s_2)| \leq L |s_1 - s_2|.$$

In fact, by (H) we find that

$$\|h(u) - h(v)\| \leq L \|u - v\|, \quad u, v \in l^2.$$

Then it follows from the standard theory of ordinary differential equations that there exists a unique local solution (u, v) for System (4)-(6).

The following estimates imply that the local solution is actually defined globally. In the sequence, we assume that

$$\eta = \frac{2L^2}{\sigma\lambda} < 1 \quad (7)$$

Lemma 2.1 Assume that (H) and (7) hold. Then for every $\tau \in \mathbf{R}, T > 0, \varphi \in C_\rho$ and $\varphi \in l^2$, there exists a positive constant $c = c(\tau, T, \varphi, \varphi)$ such that the solution (u, v) of Problem (4)-(6) satisfies

$$\beta \|u_t\|_\rho^2 + \alpha \|v(t)\|^2 \leq c, t \in [\tau, \tau + T] \quad (8)$$

Proof Taking the inner product of (4) with βu in l^2 , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \beta \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|^2 + \beta v \|Bu\|^2 + \beta \lambda \|u\|^2 = \\ \beta(h(u(t - \rho_0(t))), u) - \beta \alpha(u, v) + \beta(u, f(t)) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Taking the inner product of (5) with αv in l^2 , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \alpha \frac{d}{dt} \|v\|^2 = -\alpha \delta \|v\|^2 + \beta \alpha(u, v) + \\ \alpha(v, g(t)) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Summing up (9) and (10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\beta \|u\|^2 + \alpha \|v\|^2) + \beta v \|Bu\|^2 + \\ \beta \lambda \|u\|^2 + \alpha \delta \|v\|^2 = \beta(h(u(t - \rho_0(t))), u) + \\ \beta(u, f(t)) + \alpha(v, g(t)) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We now estimate the right-hand side of (11).

The first term is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta(h(u(t - \rho_0(t))), u)| \leq \\ \beta \|h(u(t - \rho_0(t)))\| \|u\| \leq \\ \frac{1}{4} \beta \lambda \|u\|^2 + \frac{\beta}{\lambda} \|h(u(t - \rho_0(t)))\|^2 \leq \\ \frac{1}{4} \beta \lambda \|u\|^2 + \frac{\beta L^2}{\lambda} \|u(t - \rho_0(t))\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

For the last two terms on the right-hand side of (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(u, f(t)) + \alpha(v, g(t)) \leq \frac{1}{4} \beta \lambda \|u\|^2 + \\ \frac{\beta}{\lambda} \|f(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha \delta \|v\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2\delta} \|g(t)\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

By (11)-(13) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (\beta \|u\|^2 + \alpha \|v\|^2) \leq \\ -(\beta \lambda \|u\|^2 + \alpha \delta \|v\|^2) + \frac{2\beta L^2}{\lambda} \\ \|u(t - \rho_0(t))\|^2 + \frac{2\beta}{\lambda} \|f(t)\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\delta} \|g(t)\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Let $\sigma = \min\{\lambda, \delta\}$. Then it follows from (14) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (\beta \|u\|^2 + \alpha \|v\|^2) \leq \\ -\sigma(\beta \|u\|^2 + \alpha \|v\|^2) + \\ \frac{2L^2}{\lambda} \beta \|u(t - \rho_0(t))\|^2 + \frac{2\beta}{\lambda} \|f(t)\|^2 + \\ \frac{\alpha}{\delta} \|g(t)\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

By Gronwall inequality, that for $t \geq \tau$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \|u(t)\|^2 + \alpha \|v(t)\|^2 \leq \\ e^{-\sigma(t-\tau)} (\beta \|\varphi(0)\|^2 + \alpha \|\varphi\|^2) + \\ \frac{2L^2}{\lambda} \int_\tau^t e^{-\sigma(t-s)} \beta \|u(s - \rho_0(s))\|^2 ds + \\ \frac{2\beta}{\lambda} \int_\tau^t e^{-\sigma(t-s)} \|f(s)\|^2 ds + \\ \frac{\alpha}{\delta} \int_\tau^t e^{-\sigma(t-s)} \|g(s)\|^2 ds \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

From the condition (7), by using continuity, we obtain that there exist positive constants $\mu < \sigma$ and N such that $\|\varphi\|_\rho + \|\varphi\| \leq N$ and

$$\frac{\|\varphi\|_\rho^2 + \|\varphi\|^2}{N} + e^{\mu\theta} \frac{L^2}{(\sigma - \mu)\lambda} < 1 \quad (17)$$

hold. Then we prove that for $t \geq \tau$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \|u(t)\|^2 + \alpha \|v(t)\|^2 \leq \\ dNe^{-\mu(t-\tau)} + (1 - \eta)^{-1} I(t) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I(t) = \max_{\tau \leq s \leq t} \left(\frac{2\beta}{\lambda} \int_\tau^s e^{-\sigma(s-\tau)} \|f(s)\|^2 ds + \right. \\ \left. \frac{\alpha}{\delta} \int_\tau^s e^{-\sigma(s-\tau)} \|g(s)\|^2 ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

To this end, we first prove for any $d > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \|u(t)\|^2 + \alpha \|v(t)\|^2 < \\ dNe^{-\mu(t-\tau)} + (1 - \eta)^{-1} I(t), \quad t \geq \tau \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

If (19) is not true, then, from $\|\varphi\|_\rho + \|\varphi\| \leq N$ and $\|u(t)\|$ and $\|v(t)\|$ are continuous, there must be a $t^* > \tau$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \|u(t^*)\|^2 + \alpha \|v(t^*)\|^2 \geq \\ dNe^{-\mu(t^*-\tau)} + (1 - \eta)^{-1} I(t^*) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

and

$$\beta \|u(t)\| < dNe^{-\mu(t-\tau)} + (1-\eta)^{-1}I(t), \tau - \rho \leq t < t^* \quad (21)$$

Hence, it follows from (16) (17) (20) and (21) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta \|u(t^*)\|^2 + \alpha \|v(t^*)\|^2 \leq \\ & e^{-\sigma(t^*-\tau)} (\beta \|\varphi(0)\|^2 + \alpha \|\varphi\|^2) + \\ & \frac{2L^2}{\lambda} \int_{\tau}^{t^*} e^{-\sigma(t^*-s)} \beta \|u(s-\rho_0(s))\|^2 ds + \\ & \frac{2\beta}{\lambda} \int_{\tau}^{t^*} e^{-\sigma(t^*-s)} \|f(s)\|^2 ds + \\ & \frac{\alpha}{\delta} \int_{\tau}^{t^*} e^{-\sigma(t^*-s)} \|g(s)\|^2 ds < \\ & e^{-\mu(t^*-\tau)} (\beta \|\varphi(0)\|^2 + \alpha \|\varphi\|^2) + \\ & \frac{2L^2}{\lambda} \int_{\tau}^{t^*} e^{-\sigma(t^*-s)} (dNe^{\eta\rho} e^{-\mu(s-\tau)} + \\ & (1-\eta)^{-1}I(t^*)) ds + \\ & \frac{2\beta}{\lambda} \int_{\tau}^{t^*} e^{-\sigma(t^*-s)} \|f(s)\|^2 ds + \\ & \frac{\alpha}{\delta} \int_{\tau}^{t^*} e^{-\sigma(t^*-s)} \|g(s)\|^2 ds \leq \\ & e^{-\mu(t^*-\tau)} (\beta \|\varphi(0)\|^2 + \alpha \|\varphi\|^2) + \\ & \frac{2L^2}{\lambda} \int_{\tau}^{t^*} e^{-\sigma(t^*-s)} dNe^{\eta\rho} e^{-\mu(s-\tau)} ds + \\ & \frac{2L^2}{\lambda} (1-\eta)^{-1}I(t^*) \int_{\tau}^{t^*} e^{-\sigma(t^*-s)} ds + I(t^*) \leq \\ & \left[\frac{\beta \|\varphi(0)\|^2 + \alpha \|\varphi\|^2}{N} + \right. \\ & \left. \frac{2L^2}{\lambda} e^{\eta\rho} \int_{\tau}^{t^*} e^{-\sigma(\sigma-\mu)(t^*-s)} ds \right] dNe^{-\mu(t^*-\tau)} + \\ & \eta (1-\eta)^{-1}I(t^*) + I(t^*) \leq \\ & \left[\frac{\beta \|\varphi(0)\|^2 + \alpha \|\varphi\|^2}{N} + \right. \\ & \left. e^{\eta\rho} \frac{2L^2}{\lambda(\sigma-\mu)} \right] dNe^{-\mu(t^*-\tau)} + (1-\eta)^{-1}I(t^*) \leq \\ & dNe^{-\mu(t^*-\tau)} + (1-\eta)^{-1}I(t^*) \quad (22) \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts inequality (20). So inequality (19) holds for all $t \geq \tau$. Letting $d \rightarrow 1$ in inequality (19), we have inequality (18). The proof is complete.

Lemma 2.1 implies that the solution u is defined in any interval of $[\tau, T+\tau)$ for any $T > 0$. It means that this local solution is, in fact, a global one.

Given $t \in \mathbf{R}$, define a translation θ_t on \mathbf{R} by

$$\theta_t(\tau) = \tau + t, \quad \tau \in \mathbf{R} \quad (23)$$

Then $\{\theta_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ is a group acting on \mathbf{R} .

We now define a mapping $\Phi: \mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R} \times X_\rho \rightarrow X_\rho$, for Problem (4)–(6), where $X_\rho = C_\rho \times l^2$. Given $t \in \mathbf{R}^+$, $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\Psi_\tau = (u_\tau, v_\tau) \in X_\rho$, let

$$\Phi(t, \tau, \Psi_\tau) = (u_{t+\tau}(\cdot, \tau, u_\tau),$$

$$v(t+\tau, \tau, v_\tau)) \quad (24)$$

where $u_{t+\tau}(s, \tau, u_\tau) = u(t+\tau+s, \tau, u_\tau)$, $s \in [-\rho, 0]$. By the uniqueness of solutions, we find that for every $t, s \in \mathbf{R}^+$ and $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\Psi_\tau \in X_\rho$,

$$\Phi(t+s, \tau, \Psi_\tau) = \Phi(t, s+\tau, (\Phi(s, \tau, \Psi_\tau))).$$

Then we see that Φ is a continuous non-autonomous dynamical system on X_ρ .

In the following two sections, we will investigate the existence of a pullback attractor for Φ . To this end, we need to define an appropriate collection of families of subsets of X_ρ . Let $B_\rho = \{B_\rho(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbf{R}\}$ be a family of nonempty subsets of X_ρ . Then B_ρ is called tempered (or subexponentially growing) if for every $c > 0$, the following holds:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} e^{ct} \|B_\rho(\tau+t)\|_{X_\rho} = 0,$$

where $x = (\varphi, \varphi)$. In the sequel, we denote by D_ρ the collection of all families of tempered nonempty subsets of X_ρ , i. e.,

$$D_\rho = \{B_\rho = \{B_\rho(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbf{R}\} : B_\rho \text{ is tempered}\}.$$

From the condition (7), by using continuity, we obtain that there exists a positive constant $\mu < \sigma$ such that

$$\mu - \sigma + \frac{2L^2}{\lambda} e^{\eta\rho} < 0 \quad (25)$$

holds. The following condition will be needed when deriving uniform estimates of solutions:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\mu s} (\|f(s)\|^2 + \|g(s)\|^2) ds < \infty, \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbf{R} \quad (26)$$

3 Uniform estimates of the solutions

In this section, we derive uniform estimates of solutions of Problem(4)~(6) which are needed for proving the existence and uniqueness of pullback attractor for Problem (4)~(6).

The estimates of solutions of Problem (4)~(6) in X_ρ are provided below. The symbol c is a positive constant which may change its value from line to line.

Lemma 3.1 Assume that (H), (7) and (26) hold. Then for every $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$ and $D_\rho = \{D_\rho(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbf{R}\} \in D_\rho$, there exists $T = T(\tau, D_\rho) > \rho$ such that for all $t \geq T$ and $(\varphi, \varphi) \in D_\rho(\tau - t)$, the solution (u, v) of (4)-(6) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_\tau(\cdot, \tau - t, \varphi), v(\tau, \tau - t, \varphi)\|_{X_\rho}^2 \leq \\ & 2 \frac{2\beta}{\chi\lambda} e^{\lambda\rho} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\lambda s} \|f(s + \tau)\| ds + \\ & 2 \frac{\alpha}{\chi\delta} e^{\lambda\rho} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\lambda s} \|g(s + \tau)\| ds \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where $\chi = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$.

Proof Replacing t and τ in (15) by $\bar{\omega}$ and $\tau - t$, respectively, we have for $\bar{\omega} > \tau - t$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} (\beta \|u(\bar{\omega}, \tau - t, \varphi)\|^2 + \\ & \alpha \|v(\bar{\omega}, \tau - t, \varphi)\|^2) \leq \\ & -\sigma (\beta \|u(\bar{\omega}, \tau - t, \varphi)\|^2 + \\ & \alpha \|v(\bar{\omega}, \tau - t, \varphi)\|^2) + \\ & \frac{2L^2}{\lambda} \beta \|u(\bar{\omega} - \rho(\bar{\omega}), \tau - t, \varphi)\|^2 + \\ & \frac{2\beta}{\lambda} \|f(\bar{\omega})\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\delta} \|g(\bar{\omega})\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

For simplicity, we denote $u(\bar{\omega}) = u(\bar{\omega}, \tau - t, \varphi)$ and $v(\bar{\omega}) = v(\bar{\omega}, \tau - t, \varphi)$. Then, let us define functions

$$\begin{aligned} V(\bar{\omega}) &= e^{\bar{\omega}} (\beta \|u(\bar{\omega})\|^2 + \alpha \|v(\bar{\omega})\|^2), \\ \bar{\omega} &\geq \tau - t - \rho, \end{aligned}$$

where $v(\bar{\omega}) = 0$, $\bar{\omega} \in [\tau - t - \rho, \tau - t]$, and

$$U(\bar{\omega}) \triangleq \begin{cases} e^{\bar{\omega}(\tau-t)} (\beta \|\varphi\|_\rho + \alpha \|\varphi\|), \\ \bar{\omega} \in [\tau - t - \rho, \tau - t] \\ e^{\bar{\omega}(\tau-t)} (\beta \|\varphi\|_\rho + \alpha \|\varphi\|) + \\ \frac{2\beta}{\lambda} \int_{\tau-t}^{\bar{\omega}} e^{\lambda s} \|f(s)\|^2 ds + \\ \frac{\alpha}{\delta} \int_{\tau-t}^{\bar{\omega}} e^{\lambda s} \|g(s)\|^2 ds, \bar{\omega} \geq \tau - t. \end{cases}$$

Now, we claim that

$$V(\bar{\omega}) \leq U(\bar{\omega}), \bar{\omega} \geq \tau - t \quad (29)$$

If inequality (29) is not true, from the fact that $V(\bar{\omega})$ and $U(\bar{\omega})$ are continuous, then there must be a $\bar{\omega}^* > \tau - t$ such that

$$V(\bar{\omega}) < U(\bar{\omega}), \bar{\omega} \in [\tau - t - \rho, \bar{\omega}^*) \quad (30)$$

$$V(\bar{\omega}^*) = U(\bar{\omega}^*) \quad (31)$$

where

$$\bar{\omega}^* \triangleq \inf\{\bar{\omega} > \tau - t \mid V(\bar{\omega}) > U(\bar{\omega})\},$$

and there is a sufficiently small positive constant $\Delta\bar{\omega}$ such that

$$V(\bar{\omega}) > U(\bar{\omega}), \bar{\omega} \in (\bar{\omega}^*, \bar{\omega}^* + \Delta\bar{\omega}) \quad (32)$$

Calculating the upper right-hand Dini derivative of $V(\bar{\omega})$ at $\bar{\omega}$ and considering (31) and (32), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D^+V(\bar{\omega}^*) &= \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{V(\bar{\omega}^* + h) - V(\bar{\omega}^*)}{h} \geq \\ & \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{U(\bar{\omega}^* + h) - U(\bar{\omega}^*)}{h} = \\ & \frac{2\beta}{\lambda} e^{\bar{\omega}^*} \|f(\bar{\omega}^*)\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\delta} e^{\bar{\omega}^*} \|g(\bar{\omega}^*)\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

On the other hand, it follows from (28), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D^+V(\bar{\omega}^*) &= \mu e^{\bar{\omega}^*} (\beta \|u(\bar{\omega}^*)\|^2 + \\ & \alpha \|v(\bar{\omega}^*)\|^2) + e^{\bar{\omega}^*} D^+ (\beta \|u(\bar{\omega}^*)\|^2 + \\ & \alpha \|v(\bar{\omega}^*)\|^2) \leq (\mu - \sigma) e^{\bar{\omega}^*} (\beta \|u(\bar{\omega}^*)\|^2 + \\ & \alpha \|v(\bar{\omega}^*)\|^2) + \frac{2L^2}{\lambda} e^{\bar{\omega}^*} \beta \|u(\bar{\omega}^* - \\ & \rho_0(\bar{\omega}^*))\|^2 + \frac{2\beta}{\lambda} \|f(\bar{\omega}^*)\|^2 + \\ & \frac{\alpha}{\delta} \|g(\bar{\omega}^*)\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Noticing that $U(\bar{\omega})$ is monotone nondecreasing on $[\tau - t - \rho, +\infty)$, this, together with (30) and (31), yields

$$\begin{aligned} V(\bar{\omega}^* - \rho_0(\bar{\omega}^*)) &< \\ U(\bar{\omega}^* - \rho_0(\bar{\omega}^*)) &< U(\bar{\omega}^*) = V(\bar{\omega}^*) \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \|u(\bar{\omega}^* - \rho_0(\bar{\omega}^*))\|^2 &\leq \\ e^{\bar{\omega}^*} (\beta \|u(\bar{\omega}^*)\|^2 + \alpha \|v(\bar{\omega}^*)\|^2) \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

It follows from (25) (34) and (36) that

$$\begin{aligned} D^+V(\bar{\omega}^*) &< \left(\mu - \sigma + \frac{2L^2}{\lambda} e^{\bar{\omega}^*}\right) V(\bar{\omega}^*) + \\ \frac{2\beta}{\lambda} \|f(\bar{\omega}^*)\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\delta} e^{\bar{\omega}^*} \|g(\bar{\omega}^*)\|^2 &< \\ \frac{2\beta}{\lambda} e^{\bar{\omega}^*} \|f(\bar{\omega}^*)\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\delta} e^{\bar{\omega}^*} \|g(\bar{\omega}^*)\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (33). Until now, (29) has been proven to be true. Thus we get for $t > \rho$ and $-\rho \leq \xi \leq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta \|u(\tau + \xi, \tau - t, \varphi)\|^2 + \\ & \alpha \|v(\tau, \tau - t, \varphi)\|^2 \leq \\ & (\|\varphi\|_\rho^2 + \|\varphi\|^2) e^{-\lambda(\tau+\xi)} + \\ & e^{-\lambda(\tau+\xi)} \frac{2\beta}{\lambda} \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau+\xi} e^{\lambda s} \|f(s)\|^2 ds + \\ & e^{-\lambda(\tau+\xi)} \frac{\alpha}{\delta} \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau+\xi} e^{\lambda s} \|g(s)\|^2 ds \leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\|\varphi\|_\rho^2 + \|\varphi\|^2) e^{\lambda\rho} e^{-\lambda t} + \\
& e^{\lambda\rho} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{2\beta}{\lambda} \int_{\tau-t}^\tau e^{\lambda s} \|f(s)\|^2 ds + \\
& e^{\lambda\rho} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{\alpha}{\delta} \int_{\tau-t}^\tau e^{\lambda s} \|g(s)\|^2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $(\varphi, \varphi) \in D_\rho(\tau-t) \in D_\rho$, we find that for every $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$ and $D_\rho \in D_\rho$, there exists $T = T(\tau, D_\rho) > \rho$ such that for all $t \geq T$ and $-\rho \leq \xi \leq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \beta \|u(\tau + \xi, \tau - t, \varphi)\|^2 + \\
& \alpha \|v(\tau, \tau - t, \varphi)\|^2 \leq \\
& 2 \frac{2\beta}{\lambda} e^{\lambda\rho} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\lambda s} \|f(s + \tau)\|^2 ds + \\
& 2 \frac{\alpha}{\delta} e^{\lambda\rho} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\lambda s} \|g(s + \tau)\|^2 ds
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.2 Assume that (H), (7) and (26) hold. Then for every $\tau \in \mathbf{R}, D_\rho = \{D_\rho(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbf{R}\} \in D_\rho$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $T = T(\tau, D_\rho, \varepsilon) > \rho$ and $N = N(\tau, D_\rho, \varepsilon)$ such that for all $t \geq T$ and $(\varphi, \varphi) \in D_\rho(\tau-t)$, the solution (u, v) of (4)~(6) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{-\rho \leq \xi \leq 0} \sum_{|i| \geq N} (|u_i(\tau + s, \tau - t, \varphi)|^2 + \\
& |v_i(\tau, \tau - t, \varphi)|^2) \leq \varepsilon
\end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Proof We use an idea of cut-off function to establish the uniform estimates on the tails of the solution. Let θ be a smooth cut-off function satisfying $0 \leq \theta(s) \leq 1$ for $s \geq 0$ and $\theta(s) = 0$ for $0 \leq s \leq 1$; $\theta(s) = 1$ for $s \geq 2$. Let k be a fixed integer which will be specified later, and set $\tilde{u} = (\tilde{u}_i)_{i \in \mathbf{Z}}$ with $\tilde{u} = \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) u_i$.

Taking the inner product of (4) with $\beta \tilde{u}$ in l^2 , we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \beta \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |u_i|^2 + \beta \nu(Bu, B\tilde{u}) + \\
& \beta \lambda \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |u_i|^2 = \beta \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) h_i(u_i(t - \rho_0(t))) u_i - \beta \alpha \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) u_i v_i + \\
& \beta \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) u_i f_i(t)
\end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Taking the inner product of (4) with $\tilde{a}v$ in l^2 , we get that

$$\frac{1}{2} \alpha \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |v_i|^2 =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\alpha \delta \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |v_i|^2 + \beta \alpha \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) u_i v_i + \\
& \alpha \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) v_i g_i(t)
\end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Summing up (38) and (39), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) (\beta |u_i|^2 + \alpha |v_i|^2) + \\
& \beta \nu(Bu, B\tilde{u}) + \beta \lambda \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |u_i|^2 + \\
& \alpha \delta \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |v_i|^2 \leq \beta \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) h_i(u_i(t - \rho_0(t))) u_i + \beta \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) u_i f_i(t) v + \\
& \alpha \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) v_i g_i(t)
\end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

We now estimate the terms in (40) as follows.

First, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |u_i|^2 (Bu, B\tilde{u}) = \\
& \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} (u_{i+1} - u_i) \left(\theta\left(\frac{|i+1|}{k}\right) u_{i+1} - \theta\left(\frac{|n|}{k}\right) u_i \right) = \\
& \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \left(\theta\left(\frac{|i+1|}{k}\right) - \theta\left(\frac{|n|}{k}\right) \right) (u_{i+1} - u_i) u_{i+1} + \\
& \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |u_{i+1} - u_i|^2 \geq \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \left(\theta\left(\frac{|i+1|}{k}\right) - \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) \right) (u_{i+1} - u_i) u_{i+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the property of the function θ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \left(\theta\left(\frac{|i+1|}{k}\right) - \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) \right) (u_{i+1} - u_i) u_{i+1} \right| \leq \\
& \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{|\theta'(\xi_i)|}{k} |u_{i+1} - u_i| |u_{i+1}| \leq \\
& \frac{c_0}{k} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} |u_{i+1}|^2 + |u_i| |u_{i+1}| \leq \frac{c}{k} \|u\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$-\beta \nu(Bu, B\tilde{u}) \leq \frac{c}{k} \|u\|^2 \quad (41)$$

We now estimate the right-hand side of (40).

The first term is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \beta \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) h_i(u_i(t - \rho_0(t))) u_i \right| \leq \\
& \beta \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |h_i(u_i(t - \rho_0(t)))| |u_i| \leq \\
& \frac{1}{4} \beta \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |u_i|^2 + \\
& \frac{\beta}{\lambda} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |h_i(u_i(t - \rho_0(t)))|^2 \leq \\
& \frac{1}{4} \beta \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |u_i|^2 +
\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\beta L^2}{\lambda} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |u_i(t - \rho_0(t))|^2 \quad (42)$$

For the left two term on the right-hand side of (40), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) u_i f_i(t) v_i + \alpha \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) v_i g_i(t) \leq \\ & \frac{1}{4} \beta \lambda \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |u_i|^2 + \\ & \frac{\beta}{\lambda} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |f_i(t)|^2 + \\ & \frac{1}{2} \alpha \delta \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |v_i|^2 + \\ & \frac{\alpha}{2\delta} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |g_i(t)|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

By (40)~(43) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) (\beta |u_i|^2 + \alpha |v_i|^2) \leq \\ & -\beta \lambda \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |u_i|^2 - \alpha \delta \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |v_i|^2 + \\ & \frac{2\beta L^2}{\lambda} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |u_i(t - \rho_0(t))|^2 + \\ & \frac{c}{k} \|u\|^2 + \frac{2\beta}{\lambda} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |f_i(t)|^2 + \\ & \frac{\alpha}{\delta} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |g_i(t)|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Let $\sigma = \min\{\lambda, \delta\}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) (\beta |u_i|^2 + \alpha |v_i|^2) \leq \\ & -\sigma \left[\beta \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |u_i|^2 - \alpha \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |v_i|^2 \right] + \\ & \frac{2\beta L^2}{\lambda} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |u_i(t - \rho_0(t))|^2 + \frac{c}{k} \|u\|^2 + \\ & \frac{2\beta}{\lambda} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |f_i(t)|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\delta} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |g_i(t)|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Futher,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) (\beta |u_i|^2 + \alpha |v_i|^2) \leq \\ & -\sigma \left[\beta \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |u_i|^2 - \alpha \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |v_i|^2 \right] + \\ & \frac{2L^2}{\lambda} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) [\beta |u_i(t - \rho_0(t))|^2 + \\ & \alpha |v_i|^2] + \frac{c}{k} \|u\|^2 + \frac{2\beta}{\lambda} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |f_i(t)|^2 + \\ & \frac{\alpha}{\delta} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) |g_i(t)|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

By the similar argument as in Lemma 3.1, we get from (46) for any $t > \rho$ and $-\rho \leq \xi \leq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) (\beta |u_i(\tau + \xi, \tau - t, \varphi)|^2 + \\ & \alpha |v_i(\tau, \tau - t, \varphi)|^2) \leq \\ & (\beta \| \varphi \|^2_\rho + \alpha \| \varphi \|^2) e^{-\lambda(\tau + \xi)} + \\ & \frac{c}{k} e^{-\lambda(\tau + \xi)} \int_{\tau - t}^{\tau + \xi} e^{\lambda s} \|u(s, \tau - t, \varphi)\|^2 ds + \\ & \frac{2\beta}{\lambda} e^{-\lambda(\tau + \xi)} \int_{\tau - t}^{\tau + \xi} e^{-\lambda s} \sum_{|i| \geq k} |f_i(s)|^2 ds + \\ & \frac{\alpha}{\delta} e^{-\lambda(\tau + \xi)} \int_{\tau - t}^{\tau + \xi} e^{-\lambda s} \sum_{|i| \geq k} |g_i(s)|^2 ds \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that for any $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$, $(\varphi, \varphi) \in D_\rho, \epsilon > 0$ there exist $T = T(\tau, D_\rho, \epsilon) > \rho$ and $K_1 = K_1(\tau, D_\rho, \epsilon)$ such that for $k \geq K_1, t \geq T$ and $-\rho \leq \xi \leq 0$

$$\frac{c}{k} e^{-\lambda(\tau + \xi)} \int_{\tau - t}^{\tau + \xi} e^{\lambda s} \|u(s, \tau - t, \varphi)\|^2 dr \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3} \quad (48)$$

which, together with (47), implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) (\beta |u_i(\tau + \xi, \tau - t, \varphi)|^2 + \\ & \alpha |v_i(\tau, \tau - t, \varphi)|^2) \leq \\ & (\beta \| \varphi \|^2_\rho + \alpha \| \varphi \|^2) e^{-\lambda(\tau + \xi)} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \\ & \frac{2\beta}{\lambda} e^{-\lambda(\tau + \xi)} \int_{\tau - t}^{\tau + \xi} e^{-\lambda s} \sum_{|i| \geq k} |f_i(s)|^2 ds + \\ & \frac{\alpha}{\delta} e^{-\lambda(\tau + \xi)} \int_{\tau - t}^{\tau + \xi} e^{-\lambda s} \sum_{|i| \geq k} |g_i(s)|^2 ds \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

We have from $(\varphi, \varphi) \in D_\rho(\tau - t)$ that there exists $T_1 = T_1(\tau, D_\rho, \epsilon) > 0$ such that for all $t \geq T_1$ and $-\rho \leq \xi \leq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\beta \| \varphi \|^2_\rho + \alpha \| \varphi \|^2) e^{-\lambda(\tau + \xi)} \leq \\ & (\beta \| \varphi \|^2_\rho + \alpha \| \varphi \|^2) e^{\lambda \rho} e^{-\lambda} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3} \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

We have from (26) that there is a $N_1 = N_1(\tau, \epsilon) > 0$ such that for all $k \geq N_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2\beta}{\lambda} e^{\lambda \rho} e^{-\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-\lambda r} \sum_{|i| \geq k} |f_i(s + \tau)|^2 dr + \\ & \frac{\alpha}{\delta} e^{\lambda \rho} e^{-\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-\lambda r} \sum_{|i| \geq k} |g_i(s + \tau)|^2 dr \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3} \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{-\rho \leq \xi \leq 0} \sum_{|i| \geq 2k} (\beta |u_i(\tau + \xi, \tau - t, \varphi)|^2 + \\ & \alpha |v_i(\tau, \tau - t, \varphi)|^2) \leq \\ & \sup_{-\rho \leq \xi \leq 0} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \theta\left(\frac{|i|}{k}\right) (\beta |u_i(\tau + \xi, \tau - t, \varphi)|^2 + \\ & \alpha |v_i(\tau, \tau - t, \varphi)|^2), \end{aligned}$$

which along with (49)~(51) we conclude the proof.

4 Existence of pullback attractors

In this section, we establish the existence of D_ρ -pullback attractor for the non-autonomous dynamical system Φ associated with the problem (4)~(6).

Lemma 4.1 Assume that (H) (7) and (26) hold. Then for every $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$ and $D_\rho = \{D_\rho(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbf{R}\} \in D_\rho$, there exists $T = T(\tau, D_\rho) > \rho$ such that $u_\tau(\cdot, \tau - t, \varphi)$ is equicontinuous in l^2 .

Proof Denote by $P_k u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k, 0, 0, \dots)$, for $u \in l^2$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}$. By Lemma 3.2, for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $T = T(\tau, \varepsilon) > \rho$ and large enough integer $N = N(\tau, \varepsilon)$ such that for all $t \geq T$,

$$\max_{-\rho \leq \xi \leq 0} \|(I - P_N)u(\tau + s, \tau - t, \varphi)\|^2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad (52)$$

Let $u_1 = P_N u$. By Lemma 3.1, it follows from (4) and the equivalence of norm in finite dimensional space that there exists $T = T(\tau) > \rho$ such that for all $t \geq T$,

$$\int_{\tau-\rho}^{\tau} \left\| \frac{d}{dr} u_1(r, \tau - t, \varphi) \right\|^2 dr \leq c \quad (53)$$

where $c = c(\tau)$ is a positive number. Without loss of generality, we assume that $s_1, s_2 \in [-\rho, 0]$ with $0 < s_1 - s_2 < 1$. Then for any fixed $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_1(\tau + s_1, \tau - t, \varphi) - u_1(\tau + s_2, \tau - t, \varphi)\| \leq \\ & \int_{\tau+s_2}^{\tau+s_1} \left\| \frac{du_1(r, \tau - t, \varphi)}{dr} \right\| dr \leq \\ & \left(\int_{\tau-\rho}^{\tau} \left\| \frac{du_1(r, \tau - t, \varphi)}{dr} \right\|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & |s_1 - s_2|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c |s_1 - s_2|^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

which implies that there exists a constant $\zeta = \zeta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that if $|s_1 - s_2| < \zeta$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u(\tau + s_2, \tau - t, \varphi) - \\ & u(\tau + s_1, \tau - t, \varphi)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \end{aligned}$$

which along with (52) implies that for all $t \geq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u(\tau + s_2, \tau - t, \varphi) - u(\tau + s_1, \tau - t, \varphi)\| \leq \\ & \|P_N u(\tau + s_2, \tau - t, \varphi) - \\ & P_N u(\tau + s_1, \tau - t, \varphi)\| + \\ & \|(I - P_N)u(\tau + s_2, \tau - t, \varphi)\| + \end{aligned}$$

$$\|(I - P_N)u(\tau + s_1, \tau - t, \varphi)\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof.

As for the compactness in l^2 in Ref. [16] one can easily verify the the following compactness criteria in $C_\rho = C([- \rho, 0], l^2)$ by means of uniform tail estimates.

Lemma 4.2 Let $\{u^n\}_{n=1}^\infty = \{(u_i^n)_{i \in \mathbf{Z}}\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq C_\rho$. Then $\{u^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is relative compact in C_ρ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\{u^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded in C_ρ ;
- (ii) $\{u^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is equicontinuous;
- (iii) $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{-\rho \leq \xi \leq 0} \sum_{|i| \geq k} |u_i^n|^2 = 0$.

Theorem 4.3 Assume that (H), (7) and (26) hold. Then, the non-autonomous dynamical system Φ has a unique D_ρ -pullback attractor $A_\rho = \{A_\rho(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbf{R}\} \in X_\rho$.

Proof For $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$, denote by

$$\begin{aligned} K(\tau) = \{ & (u, v) \in X_\rho : (\|u\|_\rho^2 + \|v\|^2) \leq \\ & M(\tau) \}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(\tau) = & 2 \frac{2\beta}{\chi\lambda} e^{\lambda\rho} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\lambda s} \|f(s + \tau)\|^2 ds + \\ & 2 \frac{\alpha}{\chi\delta} e^{\lambda\rho} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\lambda s} \|g(s + \tau)\|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Firstly, we know from Lemma 3.1 that Φ has a D_ρ -pullback absorbing set $K(\tau)$. Secondly, since Lemma 3.1, 3.2 and 4.1 coincide with all the conditions of Lemma 4.2, Φ is D_ρ -pullback asymptotically compact in X_ρ . Hence the existence of a unique D_ρ -pullback attractor for the non-autonomous dynamical system Φ follows from Proposition 2.7 in Ref. [18] immediately.

References:

- [1] Bates P W, Lu K, Wang B. Attractors for lattice dynamical systems [J]. Bifur Chaos Appl Sci Engrg, 2001, 11: 143.
- [2] Xu L, Zhang J J, Ma Q Z. Existence of pullback D-attractors for non-autonomous suspension bridge equation of Kirchhoff-type [J]. J Sichuan Univ: Nat Sci Ed (四川大学学报: 自然科学版), 2020, 57: 657.
- [3] Gu A, Kloeden P E. Asymptotic behavior of a non-autonomous p-Laplacian lattice system [J]. Bifur Chaos Appl Sci Engrg, 2016, 26: 1650174.

- [4] Karachalios N, Nistazakis H, Yannacopoulos A. Asymptotic behavior of solutions of complex discrete evolution equations; the discrete Ginzburg-Landau equation [J]. *Discrete Contin Dyn Syst*, 2007, 19: 711.
- [5] Li C, Hsu C, Lin J, *et al.*, Global attractors for the discrete Klein-Gordon-Schrodinger type equations [J]. *Differ Equat Appl*, 2014, 20: 1404.
- [6] Li X, Wei K, Zhang H. Exponential attractors for lattice dynamical systems in weighted spaces [J]. *Acta Appl Math*, 2011, 114: 157.
- [7] Wang B. Dynamics of systems on infinite lattices [J]. *Diff Equat*, 2006, 221: 224.
- [8] Xiang X, Zhou S. Attractors for second order non-autonomous lattice system with dispersive term [J]. *Topol Meth Nonlinear Anal*, 2015, 46: 893.
- [9] Zhao C, Zhou S. Limit behavior of global attractors for the complex Ginzburg-Landau equation on infinite lattices [J]. *Appl Math Lett*, 2008, 21: 628.
- [10] Han X, Kloeden P E. Asymptotic behavior of a neural field lattice model with a Heaviside operator [J]. *Physica D*, 2019, 389: 1.
- [11] Han X, Kloeden P E. Sigmoidal approximations of Heaviside functions in neural lattice models [J]. *Diff Equat* 2020, 268: 5283.
- [12] FitzHugh R. Impulses and physiological states in theoretical models of nerve membrane [J]. *Biophys J*, 1961, 1: 445.
- [13] Wang B. Pullback attractors for the non-autonomous FitzHugh-Nagumo system on unbounded domains [J]. *Nonlinear Anal-Theor*, 2009, 70: 3799.
- [14] Erik V V, Wang B. Attractors for lattice FitzHugh-Nagumo systems [J]. *Physica D*, 2005, 212: 317.
- [15] Wang B. Dynamical behavior of the almost-periodic discrete FitzHugh-Nagumo systems [J]. *Bifurcat Chaos*, 2007, 5: 1673.
- [16] Yan L, Wei W. Limit dynamics for the stochastic FitzHugh-Nagumo system [J]. *Math Anal Appl*, 2010, 11: 3091.
- [17] Zhou S, Wang Z. Finite fractal dimensions of random attractors for stochastic FitzHugh-Nagumo system with multiplicative white noise [J]. *Math Anal Appl*, 2016, 441: 648.
- [18] Caraballo T, Morillas F, Valero J. On differential equations with delay in Banach spaces and attractors for retarded lattice dynamical systems [J]. *Discrete Contin Dyn Syst*, 2014, 34: 51.
- [19] Chen T, Zhou S, Zhao C. Attractors for discrete nonlinear Schrodinger equation with delay [J]. *Acta Math Appl Sin Engl Ser*, 2010, 26: 633.
- [20] Han X, Kloeden P E. Non-autonomous lattice systems with switching effects and delayed recovery [J]. *Diff Equat*, 2016, 261: 2986.
- [21] Li D, Shi L. Upper semicontinuity of random attractors of stochastic discrete complex Ginzburg-Landau equations with time-varying delays in the delay [J]. *Differ Equ Appl*, 2018, 24: 872.
- [22] Li D, Shi L. Upper semicontinuity of attractors of stochastic delay reaction-diffusion equations in the delay [J]. *Math Phys*, 2018, 59: 032703.
- [23] Wang Y, Bai K. Pullback attractors for a class of nonlinear lattices with delays [J]. *Discrete Contin Dyn Syst Ser B*, 2015, 20: 1213.
- [24] Zhao C, Zhou S. Attractors of retarded first order lattice systems [J]. *Nonlinearity*, 2007, 20: 1987.

引用本文格式:

中 文: 王学敏. 含快变时滞的格 FitzHugh-Nagumo 系统的拉回吸引子[J]. 四川大学学报: 自然科学版, 2021, 58: 041002.

英 文: Wang X M. Pullback attractors for lattice FitzHugh-Nagumo systems with fast-varying delays [J]. *J Sichuan Univ; Nat Sci Ed*, 2021, 58: 041002.